# University of California <br> GASB 35 Depreciation Reporting 

## Issues Resolution Memo No. 3 <br> Establishing the Balance of Accumulated Depreciation at June 30, 2000.

## Description of the Issue

Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 35 will require the University to report depreciation in its annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2001, with comparative information for the prior year. To implement this requirement, the University must establish the balance of accumulated depreciation for each building and equipment at June 30, 2000. The process described in this document will not affect the accumulated depreciation balances reported in the individual medical center financial statements.

## Background

Accumulated depreciation is the accumulated balance of depreciation expense taken for each depreciable asset, from the original date of acquisition to the latest reporting date. Normally, depreciation is accumulated over time based on the depreciation expense calculated and reported annually in the institution's financial statements. However, since public universities were not required to report depreciation in their annual financial statements previous to GASB Statement No. 35, the University has not reported depreciation in its annual consolidated financial statements. To begin reporting depreciation in its consolidated financial statements with the fiscal year starting July 1, 2000, the University must establish the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for all of its depreciable assets.

For items of equipment, establishing the June 30, 2000 balance of accumulated depreciation is relatively straightforward. The annual depreciation expense would be calculated for each item of equipment, for each year, from the original date of acquisition through June 30, 2000, and totaled to establish the accumulated depreciation balance at June 30, 2000 (not to exceed the original acquisition value). Depreciation expense for each asset will be calculated for whole years ( 12 months per year), regardless of the specific month of acquisition.

For equipment, we will assume that the acquisition balance at June 30, 2000 is equal to the original acquisition value. This assumption ignores the possibility that additions or
enhancements may be made to individual items over time. This assumption should have very little impact on the accuracy of the calculations since most items of equipment are not enhanced or added to over their lives.

For buildings, establishing the accumulated depreciation balance will be more difficult. Buildings have longer useful lives and are subject to additions, enhancements and renovations throughout their lives. The proper calculation of accumulated depreciation would require the account balance at June 30, 2000 for each building (including both original acquisition and renovations) to be stratified by the year of capitalization. Annual depreciation expense per building could then be calculated based on the account balance at the end of each year, back to the year of original acquisition and occupancy. The University has not collected such data in the past, so such a calculation would not be possible. The University may conduct surveys of selected buildings ("laboratory" buildings as suggested in IRM No. 1) to gather such data; however, it is not cost effective for surveys to be conducted for all buildings. An alternative approach must be developed to establish the balance of accumulated depreciation for each building at June 30, 2000.

## Recommended Methodology -- Buildings

We have linked the methodology and concepts in IRM No. 1 to this IRM so there is logic and consistency to the approach.

As described in IRM No. 1, campuses may survey some or all buildings to establish useful lives individually per building. These surveys would also determine the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for each surveyed building by identifying the dates and values of additions and enhancements made to the building since its original acquisition. The recommended method described below would be used to establishing the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for non-surveyed buildings.

## Description of the Method

For each non-surveyed building, the University would stratify the value of each building at June 30, 2000 into annual increments based on the analysis of the University's consolidated annual financial statements for the past 32 years. ( 32 years is used for this example as a placeholder, pending completion of the analysis, consistent with the sample life discussed in IRM No. 1, Method 1. Campuses choosing Method 2, 3, 4, or 5 would use different number of years for non-surveyed "laboratory" buildings and "all other" buildings.) The stratified values would be used to calculate the depreciation for each year by increment, from the original year of acquisition and occupancy through June 30, 2000. The depreciation expense for each increment per building would be summed to establish the accumulated depreciation balance per building at June 30, 2000. This methodology effectively has the result of imposing the University's consolidated annual capitalized increments to every individual building. This means that building by building distinctions is not accurate but it is conceptually sound on a consolidated basis. This will be accomplished through the following steps.

## Step 1

Examine the University's past annual consolidated financial statements to identify the UC-wide total value of buildings reported at the end of each year for the past 32 years in order to develop a stratification index as described in step 2 below. Campuses may choose to provide campus specific data (campus-wide total value of buildings reported at the end of each year for the past 32 years) to UCOP in order to develop a campus specific stratification index. Campus specific data will be subject to audit by PwC.

## Step 2

Divide the UC-wide total building value reported in the annual financial statements at the end of each year by the total building value reported at June 30, 2000 to create an index of growth of building acquisition and renovation values.

## Step 3

Apply the index percentage for each year to individual buildings, back to the original year of acquisition, to determine the estimated building value at the end of each fiscal year

## Step 4

Calculate the difference between the estimated building values at the end of one year to the next to identify the annual capitalized increments.

## Step 5

Calculate the annual depreciation expense for each annual increment back to the original date of acquisition, then total the depreciation expenses to establish the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000.

## Step 6

In future years, each annual increment would be depreciated at a rate of $1 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ of the annual increment until the increment is fully depreciated.

An example of the calculation described above is presented in Attachment 1 and 2.

## Advantages:

- Relatively simple to implement.
- Based on financial statement data.
- Recognizes the fact that current acquisition values are the sum of enhancements and improvements made over time.
- Stratification is extended to individual buildings so that "laboratory" buildings could be treated with shorter lives, consistent with Methods 2, 3, 4, or 5 in IRM No. 1.
- Will not over-depreciate buildings through June 30, 2000.
- Stratification of the June 30, 2000 values into annual increments is consistent with the recommended treatment of future year increments as described in IRM No. 1.

Disadvantages:

- Assumes that all buildings have increased in value each and every year.
- Assumes that stratification is uniform for buildings at all campuses unless specific data can be provided on a campus by campus basis.
- Systems must be revised to track existing building values by their annual increments.


## Test for Validity of the Method

The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 calculated in aggregate for the University based on the annual increments would equal $\$ 3,339,648,890$. This can be calculated from the amounts shown in Attachment 2.
(Accumulated Depreciation for Building B at 6/30/00) times (\$9,700,000,000 divided by $\$ 10,000,000$ )
The ratio of accumulated depreciation to total acquisition cost would equal $34.43 \%$.
$\$ 3,339,648,890$ divided by $\$ 9,700,000,000=34.43 \%$
PwC is using this percentage as a point of reference to determine the validity of the recommended method. Since each building is being stratified only to the original year of acquisition, the recommended method will produce a smaller percentage. PwC has agreed to accept the recommended methodology conditioned on an adjustment to the stratification index that would produce an aggregate accumulated depreciation percentage equal to $34 \%$. We have determined that the original index factors would need to be increased by $18 \%$ to meet the stipulated condition.

Attachments 3 and 4 shows the revised index factors and the resulting depreciation for the examples previously presented in Attachments 1 and 2. The final factors will be recalculated based on the June 30, 2000 balance of capitalized building values and the default useful life, which may vary from the 32 years used in the calculations presented in the attachments.

## Relationship of the Recommended Method to Methods Presented in IRM No. 1

IRM No. 1 presented campus options for setting the useful lives for buildings. The method for establishing the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for each building as described above will be applied to each option presented in IRM No. 1 in the following manner:

## IRM No. 1, Method 1 - Establish a single useful life for all buildings (based on the Uniform Building Codes (UBC) assigned to each building and analysis of data provided in architectural valuation references).

Under this method, no buildings would be surveyed. Thus the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for all buildings would be based on the recommended methodology described above.

IRM No. 1, Method 2 - Establish a single life per category of buildings ("laboratory" and "all other", based on the Uniform Building Codes (UBC) assigned to each building and analysis of data provided in architectural valuation references)

Under this method, no buildings would be surveyed. Thus the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for all buildings would be based on the recommended methodology described above. However, since different lives will be established for each category of buildings ("laboratory" and "all other") based on the analysis of UBC codes, the appropriate life should be applied when making the calculation in Step 5.

## IRM No. 1, Method 3 - Survey some "laboratory" buildings.

Under this method, some "laboratory" buildings would be surveyed. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for surveyed buildings would be determined individually by the survey. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for the non-surveyed "laboratory" buildings and all "all other" buildings will be established based on the recommended methodology described above. However, since separate lives will be established for each category of buildings ("laboratory" and "all other") based on the analysis of UBC codes, the appropriate life should be applied when making the calculation in Step 5.

## IRM No. 1, Method 4 - Survey all "laboratory" buildings.

Under this method, all "laboratory" buildings would be surveyed. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for surveyed buildings will be determined individually by the survey. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for all "all other" buildings would be established based on the recommended methodology described above.

## IRM No. 1, Method 5 - Survey all "laboratory" buildings UC-wide and depreciate by individual building component.

Under this method, all "laboratory" buildings would be surveyed. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for surveyed buildings will be determined individually by component through the survey. The balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000 for all "all other" buildings would be established based on the recommended methodology described above.

## Recommended Methodology - Equipment

For each item of equipment, the annual depreciation expense would be calculated for each year, from the original date of acquisition through June 30, 2000, and totaled to establish the accumulated depreciation balance at June 30, 2000 (not to exceed the original acquisition value). Depreciation expense for each asset will be calculated for whole years ( 12 months per year), regardless of the specific month of acquisition.

Annual depreciation expense beginning with the fiscal year 2000-2001 will be calculated for all items on the inventory at December 31. The depreciation expense will be taken for the whole year ( 12 months) regardless of the specific month of acquisition.

## Conclusion

The method recommended above will allow the University to establish a reasonable, supportable balance of accumulated depreciation for buildings and equipment at June 30, 2000. Campuses may elect to refine the building calculations by providing campus specific financial statement data to establish a campus specific index for stratification and/or conduct surveys for additional buildings.

Other alternatives were considered but rejected. They are presented for your information in Attachment 5.

## Next Step - Required Action

1. PwC and UCOP will continue to discuss the viability and details of the presented methods.
2. Seek campus concurrence with the recommended approach at the April meetings.
3. Campuses need to determine their approach (Methods $1-5$ ) by June 15, 2000.
4. UCOP to set schedule for implementation based on campus decisions.
5. Campuses choosing Methods 3 or 4 must begin surveys of "laboratory" buildings. Surveys must be completed by October 31, 2000.
6. UCOP to complete calculation of the June 30, 2000 accumulated depreciation balances by December 31, 2000.

Recommended Methodology Applied to Sample Building A
Original Acquisition Date -- 1988
Value at $6 / 30 / 00$ if $\$ 10$ million


* Depreciation expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 would also include $1 / 32$ nd of the newly capitalized value during the year.

Recommended Methodology Applied to Sample Building B
Original Acquisition Date -- 1965
Value at $6 / 30 / 00$ if $\$ 10$ million

| Establishing the Index |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\text { At }{ }_{30} \text { June }$ | From Annual Financial Statemetns -- Buildings and Structures | Index (FYE Balance) divided by (FYE 2000 Balance) |
| 1 | 2000 | 9,700,000,000 | 100.00\% |
| 2 | 1999 | 8,608,110,000 | 88.74\% |
| 3 | 1998 | 7,869,164,000 | 81.13\% |
| 4 | 1997 | 7,742,946,000 | 79.82\% |
| 5 | 1996 | 7,157,473,000 | 73.79\% |
| 6 | 1995 | 6,481,704,000 | 66.82\% |
| 7 | 1994 | 5,797,726,000 | 59.77\% |
| 8 | 1993 | 5,321,240,000 | 54.86\% |
| 9 | 1992 | 4,713,936,000 | 48.60\% |
| 10 | 1991 | 4,334,499,000 | 44.69\% |
| 11 | 1990 | 3,833,510,000 | 39.52\% |
| 12 | 1989 | 3,405,320,000 | 35.11\% |
| 13 | 1988 | 3,112,769,000 | 32.09\% |
| 14 | 1987 | 2,787,548,000 | 28.74\% |
| 15 | 1986 | 2,485,090,000 | 25.62\% |
| 16 | 1985 | 2,306,809,000 | 23.78\% |
| 17 | 1984 | 2,084,745,000 | 21.49\% |
| 18 | 1983 | 1,927,934,000 | 19.88\% |
| 19 | 1982 | 1,789,222,000 | 18.45\% |
| 20 | 1981 | 1,576,070,000 | 16.25\% |
| 21 | 1980 | 1,469,556,000 | 15.15\% |
| 22 | 1979 | 1,406,477,000 | 14.50\% |
| 23 | 1978 | 1,339,850,000 | 13.81\% |
| 24 | 1977 | 1,274,272,000 | 13.14\% |
| 25 | 1976 | 1,195,412,000 | 12.32\% |
| 26 | 1975 | 1,150,806,000 | 11.86\% |
| 27 | 1974 | 1,115,772,000 | 11.50\% |
| 28 | 1973 | 1,079,099,000 | 11.12\% |
| 29 | 1972 | 1,046,033,000 | 10.78\% |
| 30 | 1971 | 992,950,000 | 10.24\% |
| 31 | 1970 | 918,371,000 | 9.47\% |
| 32 | 1969 | 844,355,000 | 8.70\% |


| Applying the Index to a Sample Building |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of Building B at FYE | Annual Increment | Age times 1/32nd | Accumulated <br> Depreciation at <br> $6 / 30 / 00$ per <br> Annual <br> Increment | Deprectation Expense FYE $2001^{*}$ (1/32nd times annual increment) |
| 10,000,000 | 1,125,660 | 0.031 | 35,177 | 35,177 |
| 8,874,340 | 761,800 | 0.063 | 47,613 | 23,806 |
| 8,112,540 | 130,122 | 0.094 | 12,199 | 4,066 |
| 7,982,419 | 603,580 | 0.125 | 75,448 | 18,862 |
| 7,378,838 | 696,669 | 0.156 | 108,855 | 21,771 |
| 6,682,169 | 705,132 | 0.188 | 132,212 | 22,035 |
| 5,977,037 | 491,223 | 0.219 | 107,455 | 15,351 |
| 5,485,814 | 626,087 | 0.250 | 156,522 | 19,565 |
| 4,859,728 | 391,172 | 0.281 | 110,017 | 12,224 |
| 4,468,556 | 516,484 | 0.313 | 161,401 | 16,140 |
| 3,952,072 | 441,433 | 0.344 | 151,743 | 13,795 |
| 3,510,639 | 301,599 | 0.375 | 113,100 | 9,425 |
| 3,209,040 | 335,279 | 0.406 | 136,207 | 10,477 |
| 2,873,761 | 311,812 | 0.438 | 136,418 | 9,744 |
| 2,561,948 | 183,795 | 0.469 | 86,154 | 5,744 |
| 2,378,154 | 228,932 | 0.500 | 114,466 | 7,154 |
| 2,149,222 | 161,661 | 0.531 | 85,882 | 5,052 |
| 1,987,561 | 143,002 | 0.563 | 80,439 | 4,469 |
| 1,844,559 | 219,744 | 0.594 | 130,473 | 6,867 |
| 1,624,814 | 109,808 | 0.625 | 68,630 | 3,432 |
| 1,515,006 | 65,030 | 0.656 | 42,676 | 2,032 |
| 1,449,976 | 68,688 | 0.688 | 47,223 | 2,146 |
| 1,381,289 | 67,606 | 0.719 | 48,592 | 2,113 |
| 1,313,682 | 81,299 | 0.750 | 60,974 | 2,541 |
| 1,232,384 | 45,986 | 0.781 | 35,926 | 1,437 |
| 1,186,398 | 36,118 | 0.813 | 29,345 | 1,129 |
| 1,150,280 | 37,807 | 0.844 | 31,900 | 1,181 |
| 1,112,473 | 34,089 | 0.875 | 29,828 | 1,065 |
| 1,078,385 | 54,725 | 0.906 | 49,594 | 1,710 |
| 1,023,660 | 76,886 | 0.938 | 72,080 | 2,403 |
| 946,774 | 76,305 | 0.969 | 73,921 | 2,385 |
| 870,469 | 870,469 | 1.000 | 870,469 |  |

Totals

| $10,000,000$ | $3,442,937$ | 285,298 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

[^0]Recommended Methodology (Revised**) Applied to Sample Building A
Original Acquisition Date -- 1988
Value at 6/30/00 if $\$ 10$ million

| Establishing the Index |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | At June 30 | From Annual <br> Financial Statemetns -Buildings and Structures | Revised Index [(FYE Balance) divided by (FYE 2000 |
| 1 | 2000 | 9,700,000,000 | 100.00\% |
| 2 | 1999 | 8,608,110,000 | 100.00\% |
| 3 | 1998 | 7,869,164,000 | 95.73\% |
| 4 | 1997 | 7,742,946,000 | 94.19\% |
| 5 | 1996 | 7,157,473,000 | 87.07\% |
| 6 | 1995 | 6,481,704,000 | 78.85\% |
| 7 | 1994 | 5,797,726,000 | 70.53\% |
| 8 | 1993 | 5,321,240,000 | 64.73\% |
| 9 | 1992 | 4,713,936,000 | 57.34\% |
| 10 | 1991 | 4,334,499,000 | 52.73\% |
| 11 | 1990 | 3,833,510,000 | 46.63\% |
| 12 | 1989 | 3,405,320,000 | 41.43\% |
| 13 | 1988 | 3,112,769,000 | 37.87\% |
| 14 | 1987 | 2,787,548,000 | 33.91\% |
| 15 | 1986 | 2,485,090,000 | 30.23\% |
| 16 | 1985 | 2,306,809,000 | 28.06\% |
| 17 | 1984 | 2,084,745,000 | 25.36\% |
| 18 | 1983 | 1,927,934,000 | 23.45\% |
| 19 | 1982 | 1,789,222,000 | 21.77\% |
| 20 | 1981 | 1,576,070,000 | 19.17\% |
| 21 | 1980 | 1,469,556,000 | 17.88\% |
| 22 | 1979 | 1,406,477,000 | 17.11\% |
| 23 | 1978 | 1,339,850,000 | 16.30\% |
| 24 | 1977 | 1,274,272,000 | 15.50\% |
| 25 | 1976 | 1,195,412,000 | 14.54\% |
| 26 | 1975 | 1,150,806,000 | 14.00\% |
| 27 | 1974 | 1,115,772,000 | 13.57\% |
| 28 | 1973 | 1,079,099,000 | 13.13\% |
| 29 | 1972 | 1,046,033,000 | 12.72\% |
| 30 | 1971 | 992,950,000 | 12.08\% |
| 31 | 1970 | 918,371,000 | 11.17\% |
| 32 | 1969 | 844,355,000 | 10.27\% |



* Depreciation expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 would also include 1/32nd of the newly capitalized value during the year
** The index factors were incresed by $18 \%$ so that the total aggregate accumulated depreciation percentage would equal $34 \%$.

Recommended Methodology (Revised**) Applied to Sample Building B
Original Acquisition Date -- 1965
Value at $6 / 30 / 00$ if $\$ 10$ million

$\left.$| Establishing the Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | At | JunernAnnual | Financial <br> Statemetns -- <br> Buildings and <br> Structures |  | | Revisea |
| :---: |
| Index |
| [(FYE Balance) |
| divided by |
| (FYE 2000 | \right\rvert\,

Totals

| Applying the Index to a Sample Building |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of Building B at FYE | Annual Increment | Age times 1/32nd | Accumurated Depreciation at 6/30/00 per Annual Increment | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Deprectation } \\ \text { Expense FYE } \\ 2001^{*} \\ (1 / 32 \text { nd times } \\ \text { annual } \end{array}$ |
| 10,000,000 | 0 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 |
| 10,000,000 | 427,203 | 0.063 | 26,700 | 13,350 |
| 9,572,797 | 153,544 | 0.094 | 14,395 | 4,798 |
| 9,419,254 | 712,225 | 0.125 | 89,028 | 22,257 |
| 8,707,029 | 822,070 | 0.156 | 128,448 | 25,690 |
| 7,884,960 | 832,056 | 0.188 | 156,010 | 26,002 |
| 7,052,904 | 579,643 | 0.219 | 126,797 | 18,114 |
| 6,473,261 | 738,782 | 0.250 | 184,696 | 23,087 |
| 5,734,479 | 461,583 | 0.281 | 129,820 | 14,424 |
| 5,272,896 | 609,451 | 0.313 | 190,453 | 19,045 |
| 4,663,445 | 520,891 | 0.344 | 179,056 | 16,278 |
| 4,142,554 | 355,887 | 0.375 | 133,458 | 11,121 |
| 3,786,667 | 395,630 | 0.406 | 160,725 | 12,363 |
| 3,391,038 | 367,939 | 0.438 | 160,973 | 11,498 |
| 3,023,099 | 216,878 | 0.469 | 101,662 | 6,777 |
| 2,806,221 | 270,140 | 0.500 | 135,070 | 8,442 |
| 2,536,082 | 190,760 | 0.531 | 101,341 | 5,961 |
| 2,345,322 | 168,742 | 0.563 | 94,918 | 5,273 |
| 2,176,579 | 259,298 | 0.594 | 153,958 | 8,103 |
| 1,917,281 | 129,574 | 0.625 | 80,984 | 4,049 |
| 1,787,707 | 76,735 | 0.656 | 50,358 | 2,398 |
| 1,710,972 | 81,051 | 0.688 | 55,723 | 2,533 |
| 1,629,921 | 79,775 | 0.719 | 57,338 | 2,493 |
| 1,550,145 | 95,933 | 0.750 | 71,950 | 2,998 |
| 1,454,213 | 54,263 | 0.781 | 42,393 | 1,696 |
| 1,399,950 | 42,619 | 0.813 | 34,628 | 1,332 |
| 1,357,331 | 44,613 | 0.844 | 37,642 | 1,394 |
| 1,312,718 | 40,225 | 0.875 | 35,197 | 1,257 |
| 1,272,494 | 64,575 | 0.906 | 58,521 | 2,018 |
| 1,207,919 | 90,725 | 0.938 | 85,055 | 2,835 |
| 1,117,194 | 90,040 | 0.969 | 87,226 | 2,814 |
| 1,027,154 | 1,027,154 | 1.000 | 1,027,154 |  |

* Depreciation expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 would also include 1/32nd of the newly capitalized value during the year ** The index factors were incresed by $18 \%$ so that the total aggregate accumulated depreciation percentage would equal $34 \%$.


## Other Methods Considered and Rejected

The following methods were considered and rejected.

## Method 1

## Analyze the University's annual financial statements to determine the average percentage of accumulated depreciation for all non-surveyed buildings. Apply the average percentage of accumulated depreciation to each building at June 30, 2000 to establish the balance of accumulated depreciation for each building.

- Examine the University's annual consolidated financial statements for the past 32 years to identify the UC-wide total value of buildings reported at the end of each year for the past 32 years.
- Establish the UC-wide value of annual incremental additions by taking the difference in the annual balances from one year to the next.
- For each UC-wide annual increment, apply an accumulated depreciation factor (a factor of $1 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ ) to determine the accumulated depreciation balance at June 30, 2000 for that increment. For example:
- The 1999-00 increment will have accumulated depreciation of $1 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ of the increment.
- The 1998-99 increment will have accumulated depreciation of $2 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ of the increment.
- .........
- The 1969-70 increment will have accumulated depreciation of $31 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ of the increment.
- $\quad$ The total balance at June 30, 1969 will have fully depreciated by June 30, 2000.
- Sum the accumulated depreciation calculated for each UC-wide increment
- Divide the sum of the accumulated depreciation by the UC-wide total building value at June 30, 2000 to establish the average accumulated depreciation percentage.
- Apply the average accumulated depreciation percentage to the value of each building at June 30, 2000 to establish the balance of accumulated depreciation for each building at June 30, 2000.
- Depreciation expense for future years would equal $1 / 32^{\text {nd }}$ of the building value at June 30 , 2000, until fully depreciated.
- Future additions and renovations would be recorded by their annual increment and depreciated separately according to IRM No. 1.

Advantages:

- Simple to implement (assuming annual total value of buildings can be identified).

Disadvantages:

- Assumes that all buildings have been depreciated to the same level.
- Newer buildings will be over-depreciated.
- Older buildings will be under-depreciated.
- A material fall-off of depreciation expense will occur in about 21 years when all of the current building values would become fully depreciated.


## Method 2

When available, use the "Year of Latest Improvement" in place of the original acquisition and occupancy date. Calculate the depreciation expense for each building for each year from the year of latest improvement (or year of original acquisition if year of latest improvement is not available or applicable) through June 30, 2000 based on the June 30, 2000 building values. Sum the annual depreciation per building to establish the balance of accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2000.

Advantages:

- Simple to implement.

Disadvantages:

- Assumes that the building value at June 30, 2000 was the acquisition cost at the year of last renovation or at original acquisition date.
- Buildings with recent renovations will be under-depreciated.
- Buildings with no recent renovations will be over-depreciated.


[^0]:    * Depreciation expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 would also include $1 / 32$ nd of the newly capitalized value during the year.

