

# ANNUAL ENDOWMENT REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

---

University of California, Berkeley Foundation

UC Davis Foundation

University of California, Irvine Foundation

The UCLA Foundation

University of California, Merced Foundation

UC Riverside Foundation

UC San Diego Foundation

The University of California, San Francisco Foundation

UC Santa Barbara Foundation

UC Santa Cruz Foundation



# Contents

---

1	Introduction .....	1
2	Data Sources and Responsibilities .....	2
3	Consolidated Endowment Review .....	3
3.1	Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation .....	4
3.2	Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation .....	5
3.3	Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class .....	6
3.4	Endowment Fund Investment Performance .....	7
3.5	Gift and Recurring Charges .....	8
3.6	Endowment Spending Policies .....	9
3.7	Total Foundation Gift Assets .....	10
4	Individual Foundation Reports .....	11
	University of California, Berkeley Foundation .....	12
	UC Davis Foundation .....	17
	University of California, Irvine Foundation .....	22
	The UCLA Foundation .....	26
	University of California, Merced Foundation .....	31
	UC Riverside Foundation .....	35
	UC San Diego Foundation .....	39
	University of California, San Francisco Foundation .....	43
	UC Santa Barbara Foundation .....	47
	UC Santa Cruz Foundation .....	51
5	Consultant of The Regents Report .....	55
5.1	Investment Performance Summary .....	56
5.2	Policy Compliance .....	64
5.3	Analysis and Recommendations .....	68
6	Appendix .....	69
6.1	Historical Foundation Investment Performance .....	70
6.2	Historical Benchmark and Active Performance .....	71
6.3	Investment Policies .....	71
6.4	Glossary .....	73

# 1 Introduction

## BACKGROUND

The history of reporting total University and Foundation endowments dates back to October 1978 when The Regents' Committee on Educational Policy adopted a policy for University Support Groups. In subsequent years, The Regents charged the Office of the Chief Investment Officer (CIO) (formerly called the Office of the Treasurer) with obtaining pertinent information regarding the UC Foundations' investments and presenting an annual report to The Regents. The annual report includes the investment philosophy, policies and performance of each Foundation's endowment assets, as well as the performance of The Regents' endowment assets. In compliance with The Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations, this report incorporates the investment review by the General Investment Consultant to The Regents. Quarterly reports will only include discretionary assets under management as recorded by State Street

## PURPOSE

The Regents' policy on Support Groups articulates the permissible activities by affiliated fund-raising organizations to be:

"Gifts to a University Support Group for the benefit of the University may be accepted and administered only in accordance with University policies and, except as otherwise specified in this policy, may not be invested as endowments and shall be transferred to the University in an expeditious manner to fulfill the intentions of the donor for allocation and disbursement by the University."

The CIO's Office is the designated recipient and investment manager of The Regents' endowment assets.

However, in October 1978, The Regents included an exception in this policy for Foundations only that states:

"A Campus Foundation may hold and invest endowments and funds functioning as endowments on a long-term basis. Such investments must be consistent with the terms of the gift instrument. Investment operations shall be conducted in accordance with prudent, sound practices to insure that gift assets are protected and enhanced and that a reasonable return is achieved, and with due regard for the fiduciary responsibilities of the Foundation's governing board...."

As a result of the above exception, donors can designate either The Regents or the Campus Foundations as the recipient of their gift assets. Foundations may then choose The Regents (the CIO's Office) and/or external investment managers to manage their endowment investments. The CIO's Office acts as a resource to the Foundations and offers its investment management services and counsel on endowment fund management issues. The goal of this report is to provide The Regents with a comprehensive overview of the University's endowment assets.

## 2 Data Sources and Responsibilities

This report was prepared by Mercer Investments, Inc. (Mercer). Information contained herein has been obtained from a range of third party sources. While the information is believed to be reliable, Mercer has not sought to verify it. As such, Mercer makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented and takes no responsibility or liability (including for indirect, consequential or incidental damages), for any error, omission or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party. Performance information was provided by State Street Bank. Certain information such as investment policies and gift policies was provided by the individual campus Foundations.

### DATA SOURCES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

State Street Bank is the University's official "book of record" and calculates performance net of fees\* using the same methodology across all the Foundations. Foundation performance results in this document prior to 2006 were provided by each Foundation and were neither audited nor calculated by the CIO's Office or State Street Bank. Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank. On a quarterly basis, State Street Bank reports Foundation performance to The Regents and those quarterly reports serve as official "book of record" to The Regents. Policy benchmark composition was provided by the Foundations.

Mercer, as the General Investment Consultant to The Regents, has been directed by the Committee on Investments to review the Foundations' investments to ensure that they are consistent with industry best practices and in compliance with The Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations. In this role, Mercer assesses performance and investment policies based on the performance information provided by State Street and the investment policies submitted by the Foundations. Findings are reported quarterly to The Regents.

For the purpose of reporting investment performance, each Foundation received the same exhibit formats and guidance, and any inconsistencies in definition and reporting are noted in the charts, tables and discussion.

The Mercer Trust – Foundation & Endowment Universe data cannot be reproduced or redistributed without the express written consent of Mercer.

### PERFORMANCE PRESENTATION

This report focuses primarily on the Foundations' endowment assets and their investment performance. Managed assets are provided in Section 3 – Consolidated Endowment Review. Section 4 – Individual Foundation Reports – displays the analysis of endowed assets. The consolidated review by the General Consultant to The Regents is provided in Section 5. The glossary at the end of this report includes definitions for these asset categories.

### PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS

Mercer Trust- Foundation & Endowment Universe: this universe is comprised of 423 observations from a substantial endowment and foundations client base which includes information submitted by industry-leading consulting and trust/custody organizations as compiled by InvestorForce.

Benchmark: For details regarding each individual Foundation's benchmark, see Section 6.

## 3 Consolidated Endowment Review

---

The following section contains a summary of the consolidated endowment review.

Performance, assets under management and fee information were provided by State Street Bank which is the University's official "book of record."

Information on assets not included in State Streets performance reporting was provided by The Regents and individual Foundations.

Information about gifts and recurring charges, endowment spending and investment statements is based on the Foundations' specific policies.

3.1	Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation .....	4
3.2	Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation..	5
3.3	Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class.....	6
3.4	Endowment Fund Investment Performance .....	7
3.5	Gift and Recurring Charges.....	8
3.6	Endowment Spending Policies .....	9
3.7	Total Foundation Gift Assets .....	10

### 3.1 Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation

This table outlines the University's total endowment assets by donor designation to either The Regents for the benefit of the campus or to the campus Foundation.

Overall, total endowment assets increased by 17.1% over the last fiscal year, with The Regents' endowment assets increasing by 15.8% and Foundation endowment assets increasing by 18.7%. These changes incorporate both new gifts accepted during the fiscal year and the return on total endowed assets.

The CIO's Office also manages endowment assets of about \$1.24 billion for the benefit of system wide programs and administration, including education and research programs, support services and administration. These assets appear in the table as part of The Regents' endowment assets.

<b>Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation (Excluding Pledges) (Market Value \$000)</b>						
<b>Campus</b>	<b>June 30, 2014</b>			<b>June 30, 2013</b>		
	<b>Regents**</b>	<b>Foundation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Regents*</b>	<b>Foundation</b>	<b>Total</b>
Berkeley	2,370,049	1,543,367	3,913,416	2,052,522	1,278,030	3,330,552
Davis	642,109	313,876	955,986	549,669	240,391	790,060
Irvine	73,822	308,479	382,301	63,355	260,253	323,608
Los Angeles	1,485,454	1,740,576	3,226,030	1,275,013	1,535,306	2,810,319
Merced	27,582	8,186	35,768	23,111	6,898	30,009
Riverside	47,789	131,880	179,669	41,790	106,987	148,777
San Diego	236,472	515,607	752,079	201,103	441,502	642,605
San Francisco	1,097,774	945,397	2,043,171	948,798	769,034	1,717,832
Santa Barbara	118,380	139,607	257,987	102,212	123,822	226,034
Santa Cruz	73,523	80,302	153,825	63,437	61,809	125,246
<b>Total Campus Endowments</b>	<b>6,172,955</b>	<b>5,727,276</b>	<b>11,900,231</b>	<b>5,321,010</b>	<b>4,824,031</b>	<b>10,145,041</b>
Systemwide Programs and Administration	1,240,755	0	1,240,755	1,081,590	0	1,081,590
<b>Total Endowment Assets</b>	<b>\$7,413,710</b>	<b>\$5,727,276</b>	<b>\$13,140,986</b>	<b>\$6,402,600</b>	<b>\$4,824,031</b>	<b>\$11,226,631</b>

## 3.2 Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation

This report focuses on the managed endowment assets held by The Regents and the Foundations, which include assets managed by The Regents (CIO's Office) or external managers. Managed endowment assets do not include assets categorized as "other endowment assets," such as separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, and receivables.

Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation (Excluding Pledges) (Market Value \$000)						
Campus	June 30, 2014			June 30, 2013		
	Managed*	Other**	Total	Managed	Other**	Total
Berkeley	1,492,207	51,160	1,543,367	1,239,124	38,906	1,278,030
Davis	304,193	9,683	313,876	232,128	8,263	240,391
Irvine	307,938	540	308,479	260,253	(0)	260,253
Los Angeles	1,732,845	7,731	1,740,576	1,526,901	8,404	1,535,306
Merced	8,186	(0)	8,186	6,898	(0)	6,898
Riverside	128,910	2,970	131,880	106,965	22	106,987
San Diego	512,985	2,622	515,607	438,869	2,633	441,502
San Francisco	942,440	2,957	945,397	767,863	1,171	769,034
Santa Barbara	137,624	1,983	139,607	120,427	3,395	123,822
Santa Cruz	79,266	1,036	80,302	61,462	347	61,809
Regents***	7,227,316	186,394	7,413,710	6,304,067	98,533	6,402,600
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$12,873,910</b>	<b>\$267,077</b>	<b>\$13,140,986</b>	<b>\$11,064,958</b>	<b>\$161,673</b>	<b>\$11,226,631</b>

\* As reflected in State Street's performance reporting.

\*\* Includes separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, operational accounts, receivables, and other.

\*\*\* Includes GEP, STIP, and separately invested assets, as well as annuity and life income funds. Excludes security lending balances and other accounts receivable and payable. This figure is only available on a fiscal annual basis.

### 3.3 Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class

Because asset allocation is the primary driver of a portfolio's total return over the long run – with sector and individual security selection the primary drivers over the short term – any comparison of endowment fund performance should be viewed in the context of the portfolio's asset class holdings.

As of June 30, 2014, the asset allocations of managed endowment funds for endowment investment portfolios held by each Foundation are shown below. Investments in the General Endowment Pool (GEP) are mapped into the specific sub asset classes. This table also includes an overall weighted average allocation of all endowment funds by asset class, as well as the net fiscal year total returns by campus.

Section 4, beginning on page 11, contains detailed information for each Foundation.

Allocation of Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class												
As of June 30, 2014												
Asset Class Allocation												
Campus	U.S. Equity	Non-U.S. Equity	Global Equity*	U.S. Fixed Income	Non-U.S. Fixed Income	Absolute Return**	Real Estate	Private Equity	Com-modities	Cash Equiv.	Total	Fiscal Year 2014
Berkeley	10.1%	16.4%	7.4%	8.4%	0.0%	35.9%	1.1%	9.4%	2.5%	9.0%	100.0%	15.2%
Davis	19.2%	24.9%	1.8%	9.4%	0.6%	26.3%	7.5%	8.4%	5.5%	-3.7%	100.0%	19.1%
Inine	26.6%	21.9%	3.2%	10.6%	2.3%	20.1%	4.1%	10.7%	0.0%	0.4%	100.0%	17.3%
Los Angeles	25.2%	21.1%	11.0%	7.3%	0.0%	10.6%	4.1%	11.6%	6.9%	2.2%	100.0%	13.7%
Merced***	16.3%	17.7%	9.3%	7.7%	2.6%	27.7%	7.9%	10.4%	0.0%	0.6%	100.0%	19.0%
Riverside****	27.5%	17.9%	38.2%	7.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	2.1%	100.0%	18.2%
San Diego*****	16.8%	19.7%	6.4%	9.6%	1.8%	29.1%	7.5%	8.7%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%	18.1%
San Francisco	22.7%	23.4%	0.0%	8.0%	4.3%	19.3%	1.9%	4.0%	6.3%	10.0%	100.0%	15.9%
Santa Barbara	26.2%	27.7%	0.0%	18.1%	0.0%	16.1%	2.9%	6.9%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%	14.4%
Santa Cruz***	16.3%	17.7%	9.3%	7.7%	2.6%	27.7%	7.9%	10.4%	0.0%	0.6%	100.0%	19.0%
<b>Weighted Avg.</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>

\* Global Equity now includes Opportunistic Equity allocation for all Foundations with GEP exposures.

\*\* Absolute return consists of hedge funds and other asset types.

\*\*\* Foundation endowment assets invested primarily in The Regents' GEP and/or STIP funds.

\*\*\*\* The global balanced investments are broken down by their respective asset classes.

\*\*\*\*\* Foundation endowment assets invested primarily in The Regents' GEP and/or STIP funds. Absolute Return includes real estate distressed allocation.

Note that the method of rounding may produce the appearance of minor inconsistencies in various totals and percentages; however, the differences do not affect the accuracy of the data.



## 3.4 Endowment Fund Investment Performance

Investment performance for University endowment assets is presented on a net basis, defined as total return after investment management fees but before any gift fees and administrative charges. While investment management fees vary across managers and asset classes, the net total return represents the annual experience of a donor's gift. This table displays net total returns for periods ending June 30, 2014 for each Foundation, and the median of the Mercer Trust – Foundations & Endowments Universe, reported for various periods. For comparison, the table also includes the weighted average returns for all University endowment assets.

Average Annualized Total Returns							
Periods ending June 30, 2014							
Campus	2Q 2014	Calendar YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	7 Years	10 Years
Berkeley	3.1%	5.4%	15.2%	8.8%	11.3%	4.4%	7.6%
Davis	5.4%	8.1%	19.1%	9.8%	11.8%	5.1%	7.6%
Irvine	4.2%	6.5%	17.3%	9.5%	11.7%	4.3%	6.6%
Los Angeles	3.7%	5.5%	13.7%	8.9%	11.0%	4.2%	6.9%
Merced	5.3%	7.8%	19.0%	9.8%	12.1%	5.2%	N/A
Riverside	3.0%	4.7%	18.2%	8.7%	12.6%	5.4%	8.4%
San Diego	4.9%	7.5%	18.1%	9.6%	12.5%	5.1%	7.6%
San Francisco	3.6%	5.9%	15.9%	8.4%	11.5%	4.2%	6.8%
Santa Barbara	3.8%	4.7%	14.4%	6.8%	11.0%	2.8%	6.0%
Santa Cruz	5.3%	7.8%	19.0%	9.8%	12.1%	5.3%	7.7%
Weighted Average	3.8%	5.9%	15.5%	8.9%	11.4%	4.4%	N/A
Regents' GEP	5.3%	7.8%	19.0%	9.8%	12.1%	5.2%	7.7%
Mercer Median	3.6%	5.1%	15.2%	8.3%	11.3%	4.5%	6.6%

## 3.5 Gift and Recurring Charges

A synopsis of The Regents' and each campus' practices for assessing fees on new gifts, as well as any recurring administrative charges, are provided in the table below. As noted in the table, gift fees apply to endowment gifts whether given to the Foundation or The Regents and to current fund gifts (for use by the campus in the near term). At most campuses, gift fees and recurring charges support discretionary funds available to the Chancellor, for such efforts as fund-raising, communications, government and community relations and administrative services associated with these efforts.

In March 1998, The Regents adopted a policy to allow the campuses to recover the reasonable and actual costs related to campus administration of The Regents' endowments, with the amount to be determined by historical cost data. Each campus listed below collected endowment cost recovery fees on The Regents' gifts of 55 basis points (effective July 1, 2013) of the 60-month rolling average market value of funds invested in GEP. The Campus Foundations may also assess an administrative endowment cost recovery fee on funds they administer.

Gifts Fees and Recurring Charges *		
	Fees	Recurring Charges
Berkeley	One-time charge of 2.5% of the initial value of all non-research gifts to the Regents and Foundation (including endowments); fee is taken from the gift principal unless the specified department elects to pay the fee from another allowable source.	STIP income on non-endowed gifts is 100% to an unrestricted fund administered by the Chancellor. STIP income earned on new endowment gifts kept in suspense for six months is paid to the Chancellor's fund to benefit campus development. An annual administrative fee of 50 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation.
Davis	6% of initial value of all gifts.	The purpose of the Endowment Cost Recovery Fee (ECRF) is to provide reimbursement to the Foundation for direct costs of administering endowments as allowed by the California Attorney General and the UC Office of the President. The ECRF is 25 basis points (0.25%) of the average of the prior 60-month-end market values of each endowment fund, for the period ending March 31, 2014. The ECRF will be deducted from the amount calculated under the spending policy described
Irvine	5% of initial value of all gifts.	There is also a recurring charge of 0.5% of the market value of Foundation endowment funds used to offset the operating costs of managing the endowment for the Foundation. All interest earned on the balances of current use private gifts and private grants is credited to the Chancellor's Discretionary Fund and used to support ongoing fundraising efforts at UC Irvine.
Los Angeles	6.5% of initial value of all gifts.	Recurring fees include 100% of all short-term interest on campus current fund balances, with some exceptions and an annual endowment cost recovery fee of 0.65% of the fair value, calculated quarterly.
Merced	5% of initial value of all gifts.	All of the STIP income earned on endowment earnings held on campus and on current use gifts shall be deposited into a Chancellor's Discretionary Fund. There is also a recurring charge of 0.55% for endowment administration costs. The fee covers the costs of administering and carrying out the terms of the endowments.
Riverside	5% of initial value of all gifts.	An Administration Cost Recovery Fee is charged against all existing endowments and endowment-related gift funds at an annual rate of 0.50% (50 basis points) of the average unit market value as calculated per the UCR Foundation spending policy. Campus collects 100% of short term ordinary income on campus and Foundation current fund balances.
San Diego	6% of the initial value of all gifts.	100% assessment of all short-term investment earnings on current use gift and private grant balances held in both the campus and the Foundation. Similar to The Regents, the Foundation has an endowment cost recovery fee (ECRF). The fee is 40 basis points of the average of a 60-month-end market values of the endowment portfolio and is taken from the amount calculated under the spending policy.
San Francisco	4% of initial value of all gifts. No fees are charged on gifts for student aid and capital.	The University also charges gift and endowment income funds an amount equal to 6% of income for infrastructure and operations costs. Gifts for scholarships are exempt from these assessments. An annual administrative fee of 40 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation. The University directs 100% of interest income earned on unexpended current gift funds to fund UCSF Central infrastructure and administrative services.
Santa Barbara	6% of the initial value of all gifts.	100% of interest income earned on expendable gift fund balances (STIP income). Recurring annual fee of 0.60% (based on the fair market value as of December 31st of the prior fiscal year) on all endowment fund assets.
Santa Cruz	6% of the initial value of all gifts.	Of the 4.65% endowment expenditures, 0.45% is allocated for administrative expenses of the Foundation. There are no additional annual recurring fees on the Foundation's endowments.

\* For more information on Foundation policies regarding gift fees and recurring charges, see the individual Foundation reports in Section 4. Note: Updates provided by the Foundations are reflected above up to the time this report was produced.

## 3.6 Endowment Spending Policies

A summary of the endowment spending policies for each university Foundation is shown in the table below. It is important to note that a Foundation choosing to invest in The Regents' GEP, Private Equity Vintage Year Program, Real Estate Vintage Year Program and/or Absolute Return Unitized Program funds may apply its Foundation spending policy to those gift assets.

Endowment Spending Policies by Foundation*	
Berkeley	The Foundation's proposed payout policy is 4.5% of a twelve-quarter (three year) moving average market value of the endowment pool. The Finance and Administration Committee, at its discretion, may recommend to the Executive Committee an alternative payout percentage, within a range of 4.0% to 5.0% for a specific payout year. The actual payout for the 2014 fiscal year was 4.25%.
Davis	The primary objective of the UC Davis Foundation's endowment spending policy is to achieve a proper balance between present and future needs of endowed units at UC Davis in order to maximize long-term impact. The current approved spending rate is 4.25% of the average of the prior 60-month-end market values of each endowment fund, for the period ending March 31. Payouts are pro-rated for new endowments and may be reduced on endowments that are more than 5% underwater for the period ending March 31.
Irvine	The endowment fund spending policy allows for allocation of income equivalent to 4.5% of the moving average market value of the endowment portfolio. This average market value is computed using the previous 36 months of portfolio activity. Income earned in excess of the spending rate may be reinvested in endowment principal. Income available for expenditure is calculated according to a predetermined formula.
Los Angeles	In 2014 The UCLA Foundation endowment spending rate was 5% of a 12 quarter rolling average market value, calculated quarterly, not to exceed \$1.53 per share. The UCLA Foundation approved endowment spending policy for fiscal 2015 is 4.78% of a 12 quarter rolling average market value.
Merced	The spending policy of the UC Merced Foundation is to provide 100% of the endowment earnings up to a maximum spending payout rate of 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value.
Riverside	The endowment spending policy applicable to FYE 2014 was to withdraw per unit 4% of the average unit market value of the endowment fund calculated using the closing unit market value on the last day of each of the 84 contiguous months the last of which ended on May 31, 2014.
San Diego	Endowment spending during fiscal year 2013-14 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value of the endowment portfolio. Spending is allocated to fund holders monthly.
San Francisco	The Foundation policy is to distribute 5% of the market value of the endowment pool calculated on a 36-month rolling average of the market value, subject to a 6% cap and a 3.5% floor as a percent of the end of year endowment pool market value. The payout rate is reviewed annually, which may result in modification. The payout is distributed once a year following the close of the fiscal year.
Santa Barbara	Endowment spending during fiscal year 2013-2014 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value of the endowment portfolio as of December 31, 2012. Spending is allocated to fund holders in September (40%) and April (60%).
Santa Cruz	The UC Santa Cruz Foundation endowment expenditure rate approved June, 2014, is 4.65% times a three-year moving average of December 31 market values. The endowment expenditure formula is reviewed annually and adjusted accordingly with respect to prudent concern for campus needs, donor expectations, and current market conditions. In no event will the corpus be reduced below the amount of the original gift, adjusted by the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) price index, unless specific language of the endowment agreement so allows.

\*For more information on Foundation policies regarding gift fees and recurring charges, see the individual Foundation reports in Section 4. Note: Updates provided by the Foundations are reflected above up to the time this report was produced.

## 3.7 Total Foundation Gift Assets

This table provides total Foundation gift assets by campus, split into endowed, non-endowed assets and pledges, for fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2013. Endowed assets include current gift assets (i.e., assets for use in the current year). Non-endowed assets include trust/life income assets, but exclude pledges. Note, pledges introduce potential volatility to the asset growth rates.

The Foundations held \$5.73 billion in endowment gift assets at June 30, 2014, an 18.7% increase from the \$4.82 billion held at the end of fiscal year 2013. Including all assets (endowed, non-endowed, and pledges), the Foundations' total assets were \$7.55 billion, a 12.6% increase over the total of \$6.71 billion for fiscal year 2013.

Total Foundation Gift Assets by Campus								
(Market Value \$000; totals rounded)								
Campus	June 30, 2014				June 30, 2013			
	Endowed	Non-Endowed	Pledges	Total	Endowed	Non-Endowed	Pledges	Total
Berkeley	1,543,367	189,783	129,880	1,863,030	1,278,030	186,312	140,364	1,604,706
Davis	313,876	17,979	17,220	349,075	240,391	30,103	17,838	288,332
Irvine	308,479	25,333	12,823	346,635	260,253	19,838	40,133	320,224
Los Angeles	1,740,576	354,910	452,827	2,548,312	1,535,306	337,412	338,699	2,211,416
Merced	8,186	403	720	9,309	6,898	149	1,054	8,100
Riverside	131,880	13,580	3,131	148,591	106,987	15,306	3,770	126,063
San Diego	515,607	143,346	71,926	730,879	441,502	138,601	81,942	662,044
San Francisco	945,397	207,924	147,221	1,300,542	769,034	428,200	70,041	1,267,275
Santa Barbara	139,607	11,536	16,902	168,046	123,822	11,415	11,754	146,991
Santa Cruz	80,302	2,568	5,756	88,626	61,809	2,503	6,288	70,600
<b>Total Foundation Assets</b>	<b>\$5,727,276</b>	<b>\$967,362</b>	<b>\$858,407</b>	<b>\$7,553,045</b>	<b>\$4,824,031</b>	<b>\$1,169,839</b>	<b>\$711,883</b>	<b>\$6,705,753</b>

## 4 Individual Foundation Reports

### BENCHMARKS

- The most important determinant of fund performance and risk is the asset allocation policy established by Fund fiduciaries.
- A fund performance benchmark is usually stated in terms of percentages (adding to 100%) of a number of market indexes, such as the Russell 3000 Index for U.S. stocks or the Barclays Aggregate Index for U.S. bonds. A performance benchmark may change over time as policy changes both the asset mix and the performance objectives, or benchmarks, for each asset class.
- The Foundations provided the asset allocation and benchmark information summarized in Section 6.3. Annual and cumulative benchmark returns were computed based on the Foundations' reported policy. They are shown in Section 6.1, along with the Foundations' annual and cumulative returns. In some cases, detailed information on investment policy in earlier years was not available, and the CIO's office approximated benchmark composition based on the available information supplied by the Foundations.
- In addition, the Active Return, or difference between Fund and benchmark return, is shown in Section 6.2. Active return is a measure of the value added by Fund fiduciaries and managers over the policy objective.

The following section of the report contains a summary for each Foundation which includes:

- Endowment Investment Objective
- Endowment Spending Policy
- Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses
- Actual vs. Target Allocation and Policy Benchmark
- Graph showing annual fiscal and current period net total returns for each Foundation and benchmark
- Graph showing historical performance of a hypothetical \$10,000 gift invested with the Foundation and the Benchmark
- Graph showing managed endowment funds by asset class
- Graph showing the rolling 1-year excess net return of the Foundation versus benchmark

Updates provided by the Foundations are reflected in this section up to the time this report was produced.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

There are three intersecting goals for the UC Berkeley Foundation endowment pool:

- 1) Inflation - Adjusted Spending. Generate returns sufficient to meet UCBF's desired payout target over rolling ten year periods, while maintaining real purchasing power, sufficient liquidity and acceptable volatility.
- 2) Market Returns - Generate results after all relevant expenses, that match or exceed the returns of a representative mix of investable assets over rolling ten year periods.
- 3) Manager Selection - Demonstrate success in selecting investments, as measured by comparing performance after all relevant expenses versus the return and volatility measures of other investable options at the manager and asset class level, over rolling five year periods.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The UC Berkeley Foundation payout policy is 4.5% of a twelve-quarter (three years) moving average market value of the endowment. The Trustees at their discretion may approve an alternative payout percentage within a range of 4.00% to 5.00% for a specific year. In fiscal year 2013-14, the actual payout rate is 4.25%.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

- 1) One time charge of 2.5% of the initial value of all non-research gifts to the Regents and Foundation (including endowments). The fee is taken from the gift principal unless the specified department elects to pay the fee from another allowable source.
- 2) STIP income on non-endowed gifts is 100% to an unrestricted fund administered by the Chancellor.
- 3) STIP income earned on new endowment gifts kept in suspense for six months is paid to the Chancellor's fund to benefit campus development.
- 4) An annual administrative fee of 50 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation.

### University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio

#### Asset Allocation

June 30, 2014

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Target Policy Allocation	Variance from Target Policy Allocation	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Global Equities	\$747	50.1%	39.5%	10.6%	30.0%	50.0%	0.1%
Diversifying Assets	\$302	20.3%	28.0%	-7.7%	20.0%	35.0%	Yes
Excess Return	\$184	12.3%	15.0%	-2.7%	0.0%	20.0%	Yes
Defensive	\$259	17.3%	17.5%	-0.2%	15.0%	35.0%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$1,492</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>				

As of March 1, 2011 UCB implemented a new Investment Policy moving to a multi-asset class portfolio approach. The policy targets and ranges are reported on the level of the employed asset categories.

UCB is in the process of implementing the new investment structure.


Notes:

The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.


The total fund benchmark reflects 82.5% MSCI ACWI with USA Gross (net) and 17.5% Barclays Treasury.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years**  
UC Berkeley - Portfolio and Benchmark




**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift**  
UC Berkeley - Portfolio and Benchmark




## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

**Excess Return UC Berkeley Portfolio versus Benchmark  
September 2009 to June 2014**



**UC Berkeley Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class**





## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014									
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return			
			Recent Quarter	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCB Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$1,492,206,648</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3.12%</b>	<b>5.44%</b>	<b>15.23%</b>	<b>8.77%</b>	<b>11.31%</b>	<b>4.40%</b>	<b>7.59%</b>
Policy Benchmark <sup>2)</sup>			4.45%	5.73%	19.40%	9.44%	11.07%	5.03%	7.44%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-1.33%	-0.29%	-4.17%	-0.67%	+0.24%	-0.63%	+0.15%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$150,043,535</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4.74%</b>	<b>7.09%</b>	<b>25.28%</b>	<b>17.81%</b>	<b>20.31%</b>	<b>7.39%</b>	
Russell 3000			4.87%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%	19.33%	6.47%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.13%	+0.15%	+0.06%	+1.35%	+0.98%	+0.92%	
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	<b>\$182,104,441</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>3.36%</b>	<b>4.50%</b>	<b>21.16%</b>	<b>7.04%</b>	<b>12.16%</b>	<b>2.33%</b>	
MSCI EAFE (GROSS)			4.34%	5.14%	24.09%	8.59%	12.27%	1.45%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.98%	-0.64%	-2.93%	-1.55%	-0.11%	+0.88%	
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>\$110,247,943</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2.95%</b>	<b>7.52%</b>	<b>22.54%</b>				
MSCI ACWI w/USA Gross (Net)			5.12%	6.33%	23.31%				
Variance to Benchmark			-2.17%	+1.19%	-0.77%				
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	<b>\$62,730,085</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>6.67%</b>	<b>6.67%</b>	<b>18.17%</b>	<b>0.18%</b>	<b>10.04%</b>	<b>0.80%</b>	
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS			6.60%	6.14%	14.31%	-0.39%	9.24%	2.28%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.07%	+0.53%	+3.86%	+0.57%	+0.80%	-1.48%	
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$125,008,110</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0.91%</b>	<b>1.64%</b>	<b>1.69%</b>	<b>2.15%</b>	<b>3.16%</b>	<b>4.62%</b>	
Barclays Aggregate Bond			2.04%	3.93%	4.37%	3.66%	4.85%	5.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			-1.13%	-2.29%	-2.68%	-1.51%	-1.69%	-0.73%	
<b>TIPS</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Equity</b>	<b>\$139,849,255</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>10.89%</b>	<b>18.18%</b>	<b>28.61%</b>	<b>16.19%</b>	<b>15.78%</b>	<b>9.53%</b>	
TOTAL NON-MARKETABLE ALTERNATIVES			10.89%	18.18%	28.61%	16.19%	15.78%	9.53%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b>	<b>\$535,273,367</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>1.46%</b>	<b>3.93%</b>	<b>13.04%</b>	<b>7.54%</b>	<b>8.96%</b>	<b>4.42%</b>	
91 DAY TBILL+4%			1.00%	2.00%	4.06%	3.96%	4.04%	4.70%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.46%	+1.93%	+8.98%	+3.58%	+4.92%	-0.28%	
<b>Marketable Energy/Comm/Nat Res</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Energy/Comm/Nat Res</b>	<b>\$37,604,111</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2.87%</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>7.20%</b>	<b>6.28%</b>	<b>7.90%</b>	<b>6.21%</b>	
TOTAL PRIVATE ENERGY COMM/OTHER			2.87%	2.03%	7.20%	6.28%	7.90%	6.21%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
<b>Marketable Real Estate</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Real Estate</b>	<b>\$15,707,308</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6.49%</b>	<b>10.67%</b>	<b>16.29%</b>	<b>12.73%</b>	<b>2.17%</b>	<b>-4.29%</b>	
TOTAL PRIVATE REAL ESTATE			6.49%	10.67%	16.29%	12.73%	2.17%	-4.29%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
<b>Liquidity Accounts <sup>3)</sup></b>	<b>\$133,636,031</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0.29%</b>	<b>0.62%</b>	<b>1.15%</b>	<b>1.58%</b>	<b>1.88%</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	
91 Day Treasury Bill			0.01%	0.02%	0.05%	0.07%	0.11%	0.73%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.28%	+0.60%	+1.10%	+1.51%	+1.77%	+1.56%	
<b>Reserve Account <sup>4)</sup></b>	<b>\$2,461</b>	<b>0%</b>							
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>									
Regents STIP <sup>5)</sup>	<b>\$92,586,102</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0.34%</b>	<b>0.72%</b>	<b>1.61%</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>2.25%</b>	<b>2.79%</b>	<b>3.20%</b>

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Private asset valuations typically lag between 60 and 90 days.

Private asset benchmarks use actual returns.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, **annual** returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.<sup>2)</sup> The UC Berkeley policy benchmark is a blend of the MSCI ACWI WITH USA GROSS (net) index and Barclays Treasury. The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.<sup>3)</sup> The Liquidity Accounts asset class performance and market value includes Regents STIP which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those managed by the UC Regents.

The Liquidity Composite contains a managed cash account whose non-management expenses have significantly impacted its performance to the downside. These expenses have been removed back to inception of the fund and now roll directly to the Total Plan.

<sup>4)</sup> This fund was set up to receive the proceeds from class actions.<sup>5)</sup> The 5-Year and 10-Year returns reflect the actual STIP unitized returns as reported by the UC Regents.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio									
June 30, 2014									
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCB Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$1,492,206,648</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3.12%</b>	<b>5.44%</b>	<b>15.23%</b>	<b>8.77%</b>	<b>11.31%</b>	<b>4.40%</b>	<b>7.59%</b>
Policy Benchmark <sup>3)</sup>			4.45%	5.73%	19.40%	9.44%	11.07%	5.03%	7.44%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-1.33%	-0.29%	-4.17%	-0.67%	+0.24%	-0.63%	+0.15%
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>\$747,439,512</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>3.46%</b>	<b>5.41%</b>	<b>20.89%</b>	<b>10.58%</b>			
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Diversifying Assets</b>	<b>\$302,366,224</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>1.92%</b>	<b>3.64%</b>	<b>9.43%</b>	<b>6.30%</b>			
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Excess Return</b>	<b>\$183,754,310</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>7.57%</b>	<b>15.31%</b>	<b>26.69%</b>	<b>15.53%</b>			
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Defensive <sup>2)</sup></b>	<b>\$258,646,603</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0.56%</b>	<b>1.17%</b>	<b>1.52%</b>	<b>2.07%</b>			
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Private asset valuations typically lag between 60 and 90 days.

Private asset benchmarks use actual returns.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

<sup>2)</sup> The Defensive composite contains a managed cash account whose non-management expenses have significantly impacted its performance to the downside. These expenses have been removed back to inception of the fund and now roll directly to the Total Plan.

<sup>3)</sup> The UC Berkeley policy benchmark is a blend of the MSCI ACWI WITH USA GROSS (net) index and Barclays Treasury. The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.

## UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The Fund seeks future long-term growth of investments, at an acceptable risk level, sufficient to offset reasonable spending plus normal inflation, thereby preserving the purchasing power of the Fund for future generations. It also seeks partial protection from potentially severe adverse effects of extended deflationary environments.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The primary objective of the UC Davis Foundation's endowment spending policy is to achieve a proper balance between present and future needs of endowed units at UC Davis in order to maximize long-term impact. The current approved spending rate is 4.25% of the average of the prior 60-month-end market values of each endowment fund, for the period ending March 31. Payouts are pro-rated for new endowments and may be reduced on endowments that are more than 5% underwater for the period ending March 31.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The campus assesses a 6 percent gift fee on all gifts pledged to UC Davis whether through the UCOP Regents or the Foundation. The gift fee will be assessed and collected at the time the gift or pledge payment is received in cash or converted to cash. The gift fee may be paid by

- 1) deducting the fee from the gift principal
- 2) deducting the fee from funds provided by the donor specifically for purposes of paying the fee
- 3) deducting the fee from the campus account designated for this purpose by the benefitting unit, school, or college
- 4) If the gift is \$100,000 or more, deposit and hold the complete gift in an interest earning account until sufficient earnings have accrued to pay the fee.

### Policy for Endowment Cost Recovery Fee (ECRF)

The purpose of the Endowment Cost Recovery Fee (ECRF) is to provide reimbursement to the Foundation for direct costs of administering endowments as allowed by the California Attorney General and the UC Office of the President. The current approved ECRF is 25 basis points (0.25%) of the average of the prior 60-month-end market values of each endowment fund, for the period ending March 31, 2014. The ECRF will be deducted from the amount calculated under the spending policy described above.

### University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio Asset Allocation June 30, 2014

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Core Endowment	\$142	46.8%	47.0%	-0.2%	37.0%	57.0%	Yes
Risk Focus	\$48	15.9%	21.0%	-5.1%	15.0%	27.0%	Yes
Broad Mkt Exposure	\$61	19.9%	19.0%	0.9%	7.0%	31.0%	Yes
L/T US Eq Growth	\$27	8.8%	6.5%	2.3%	3.0%	10.0%	Yes
L/T non-US Growth	\$26	8.6%	6.5%	2.1%	3.0%	10.0%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$304</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>				



As of January 1, 2011, UCD implemented a new Investment Policy moving to a multi-asset class portfolio approach. Prior to January 1, 2011, UCD was invested 100% in GEP. The policy targets and ranges are reported on the level of the employed asset categories.

Notes:

The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.


The total fund benchmark reflects 70.0% S&P 500 and 30.0% Barclays Aggregate.

## UC DAVIS FOUNDATION




## UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

**Excess Return UC Davis Portfolio versus Benchmark  
September 2009 to June 2014**



**UC Davis Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class**



Note: Davis actual asset allocation for the Liquidity Portfolio was -3.7% as of 6/30/14.

## UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCD Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$304,192,819</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5.35%</b>	<b>19.09%</b>	<b>8.14%</b>	<b>19.09%</b>	<b>9.81%</b>	<b>11.83%</b>	<b>5.10%</b>	<b>7.60%</b>
Policy Benchmark <sup>2)</sup>			4.27%	18.30%	6.21%	18.30%	12.76%	13.33%	7.19%	8.84%
Variance to Benchmark			+1.08%	+0.79%	+1.93%	+0.79%	-2.95%	-1.50%	-2.09%	-1.24%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$58,480,688</b>	<b>19%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	<b>\$58,235,235</b>	<b>19%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>\$5,605,621</b>	<b>2%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	<b>\$17,653,269</b>	<b>6%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$24,513,144</b>	<b>8%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>TIPS</b>	<b>\$2,117,265</b>	<b>1%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	<b>\$1,982,291</b>	<b>1%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	<b>N/A</b>									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	<b>\$1,722,507</b>	<b>1%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Private Equity</b>	<b>\$25,473,813</b>	<b>8%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b>	<b>\$71,098,356</b>	<b>23%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>	<b>\$16,859,411</b>	<b>6%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Opportunistic Distressed Credit</b>	<b>\$8,769,308</b>	<b>3%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>\$22,957,320</b>	<b>8%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	<b>(\$11,275,410)</b>	<b>-4%</b>								
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										

Note:

Returns are net of all fees

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates from Regents GEP on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>2)</sup> The UC Davis policy benchmark is a blend of the S&P 500 Index and Barclays Aggregate. The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.

## UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
			Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCD Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b> UCD TOTAL POLICY BENCHMARK	\$304,192,819	100%	5.35%	19.09%	8.14%	19.09%	9.81%	11.83%	5.10%	7.60%
Variance to Benchmark			+1.08%	+0.79%	+1.93%	+0.79%	-2.95%	-1.50%	-2.09%	-1.24%
<b>Core Endowment</b> 70% S&P 500/30% BC Agg	\$142,458,320	47%	5.52%	18.48%	9.43%	18.48%	10.10%			
Variance to Benchmark			4.27%	18.30%	6.21%	18.30%	12.76%			
			+1.25%	+0.18%	+3.22%	+0.18%	-2.66%			
<b>Risk Focus</b> 60% S&P 500/40% BC Agg	\$48,328,846	16%	5.48%	15.38%	6.86%	15.38%	6.53%			
Variance to Benchmark			3.95%	16.24%	5.90%	16.24%	11.48%			
			+1.53%	-0.86%	+0.96%	-0.86%	-4.95%			
<b>Broad Market Exposure <sup>2)</sup></b> BLENDED Benchmark	\$60,605,639	20%	5.33%	19.05%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.21%	7.72%
Variance to Benchmark			4.27%	18.30%	6.21%	18.30%	12.76%	13.33%	7.19%	8.84%
			+1.06%	+0.75%	+1.63%	+0.75%	-2.96%	-1.22%	-1.98%	-1.12%
<b>L/T US Eq Growth</b> Russell 3000	\$26,726,722	9%	4.85%	25.53%	6.97%	25.53%	16.64%			
Variance to Benchmark			4.87%	25.22%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%			
			-0.02%	+0.31%	+0.03%	+0.31%	+0.18%			
<b>L/T non-US Growth</b> MSCI WORLD EX US (NET)	\$26,073,293	9%	4.70%	24.14%	5.46%	24.14%	7.91%			
Variance to Benchmark			4.62%	23.83%	5.40%	23.83%	7.58%			
			+0.08%	+0.31%	+0.06%	+0.31%	+0.33%			

Note:

Returns are net of all fees.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates from Regents GEP on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>2)</sup> The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

6/30/2014 market value and GEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The Irvine Foundation's investment objective for its endowment portfolio is to maximize long-term total return, with a total return objective (net of fees), measured over a full market cycle, of not less than the rate of inflation as measured by the CPI, plus 500 basis points. Ideally, total return should exceed market performance. The investment policy is 22% US Equity, 22% Non-US Equity, 20% Hedge Funds, 15% Fixed Income, 8% Private Equity and Venture Capital, 8% Real Estate, 5% Commodities, and 0% Cash.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The endowment fund spending policy allows for allocation of income equivalent to 4.5% of the moving average market value of the endowment portfolio. This average market value is computed using the previous 36 months of portfolio activity. Income earned in excess of the spending rate may be reinvested in endowment principal. Income available for expenditure is calculated according to a predetermined formula.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The Irvine Campus charges a one-time fee of 5% of the initial value of all Foundation and Regents' gifts. The fee may be taken

- (1) from the principal if specified by the donors,
- (2) by holding gift in a suspense account until fee is earned, or
- (3) from another acceptable fund source.

There is also a recurring charge of 0.5% of the market value of Foundation endowment funds used to offset the operating costs of managing the endowment for the Foundation.

All interest earned on the balances of current use private gifts and private grants is credited to the Chancellor's Discretionary Fund and used to support ongoing fundraising efforts at UC Irvine.

### University of California, Irvine Foundation Portfolio



#### Asset Allocation

June 30, 2014



	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$149	49%	44%	5%	32%	52%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$40	13%	15%	-2%	10%	20%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$118	38%	41%	-3%	21%	61%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$1	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$308</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION

University of California, Irvine Foundation Portfolio									
June 30, 2014									
		Total Return			Annualized Total Return				
Core Endowment Model	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
Risk Focus									
<b>UCI Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$307,938,122</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4.19%</b>	<b>6.45%</b>	<b>17.31%</b>	<b>9.50%</b>	<b>11.72%</b>	<b>4.28%</b>	<b>6.63%</b>
Policy Benchmark			3.13%	5.13%	14.95%	7.83%	10.36%	3.88%	6.48%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.06%	+1.32%	+2.36%	+1.67%	+1.36%	+0.40%	+0.15%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$64,352,545</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>4.02%</b>	<b>4.94%</b>	<b>22.74%</b>	<b>14.44%</b>	<b>17.81%</b>	<b>5.37%</b>	
Russell 3000			4.87%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%	19.33%	6.47%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.85%	-2.00%	-2.48%	-2.02%	-1.52%	-1.10%	
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	<b>\$35,535,993</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>2.83%</b>	<b>2.71%</b>	<b>19.40%</b>	<b>7.89%</b>	<b>11.14%</b>	<b>0.66%</b>	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	5.56%	21.75%	5.73%	11.11%	1.27%	
Variance to Benchmark			-2.20%	-2.85%	-2.35%	+2.16%	+0.03%	-0.61%	
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	<b>\$12,842,276</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>9.63%</b>	<b>9.16%</b>	<b>18.28%</b>	<b>3.00%</b>			
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS			6.60%	6.14%	14.31%	-0.39%			
Variance to Benchmark			+3.03%	+3.02%	+3.97%	+3.39%			
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$24,275,824</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2.25%</b>	<b>3.99%</b>	<b>4.85%</b>	<b>4.44%</b>	<b>6.07%</b>	<b>6.73%</b>	
Barclays Aggregate Bond			2.04%	3.93%	4.37%	3.66%	4.85%	5.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.21%	+0.06%	+0.48%	+0.78%	+1.22%	+1.38%	
<b>TIPS</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt. <sup>4)</sup></b>	<b>\$4,270,860</b>	<b>1%</b>							
Citigroup WGBI (All Maturities)									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Equity</b>	<b>\$16,876,562</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1.23%</b>	<b>6.15%</b>	<b>15.07%</b>	<b>11.17%</b>	<b>15.23%</b>	<b>7.75%</b>	
UCIF- PRIVATE EQUITIES			1.23%	6.15%	15.07%	11.17%	15.23%	5.14%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+2.61%	
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b>	<b>\$17,289,833</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1.42%</b>	<b>9.57%</b>	<b>22.67%</b>	<b>10.96%</b>	<b>12.19%</b>	<b>5.35%</b>	
HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index			1.54%	2.11%	7.63%	3.31%	4.25%	0.58%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.12%	+7.46%	+15.04%	+7.65%	+7.94%	+4.77%	
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>\$4,152,551</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2.52%</b>	<b>5.15%</b>	<b>7.61%</b>	<b>7.45%</b>	<b>4.72%</b>	<b>-1.01%</b>	
NCREIF PROPERTY INDEX			2.91%	5.73%	11.21%	11.32%	9.67%	4.86%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.39%	-0.58%	-3.60%	-3.87%	-4.95%	-5.87%	
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	<b>N/A</b>								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>									
Regents GEP <sup>2)</sup>	\$107,991,678	35%	5.33%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.21%	7.72%
Regents STIP <sup>2)</sup>	\$731,016	0%	0.34%	0.72%	1.61%	2.03%	2.25%	2.79%	3.20%
Regents Absolute Return <sup>3)</sup>	\$14,783,019	5%	3.81%	7.14%	12.77%	6.47%	8.47%	4.29%	
Regents Vintage Private Equity <sup>3)</sup>	\$4,835,965	2%	11.79%	20.74%	29.09%	15.51%	17.96%	11.37%	

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Prior to July 1, 2007, Core Fixed Income included Common fund Multi-Strategy and Absolute Return.

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates from Regents GEP, Regents Absolute Return and Regents Vintage Private Equity

<sup>2)</sup> The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP/STIP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

6/30/2014 market value and GEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>3)</sup> 6/30/2014 market values and returns reflect the latest updated valuations.<sup>4)</sup> This new investment was funded 5/21/14.

## THE UCLA FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The primary investment objective of the Foundation endowment is to earn an average annual real total return of at least 5% per year over the long term, net of cost. Attainment of this objective will enable the University to maintain the purchasing power of endowment assets in perpetuity and meet its current spending policy.

A secondary investment objective of the endowment is to outperform over the long term (defined as rolling five-year periods) a blended custom benchmark based on a current asset allocation policy of: 25% Russell 3000, 15% Citigroup 3 Month Treasury Bill Index X 2, 15% Cambridge Associates LLC U.S. Private Equity/Venture Indices (weighted 60% Buyout & 40% Venture), 20% MSCI All Country World Ex-US Index, 5% Citigroup 3 Month Treasury Bill Index (Cash), 5% Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index, 5% NCREIF Property Index, and 10% Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (annualized CPI-U) + 6%.

### Endowment Spending Policy

In 2014 The UCLA Foundation endowment spending rate was 5% of a 12 quarter rolling average market value, calculated quarterly, not to exceed \$1.53 per share. The UCLA Foundation approved endowment spending policy for fiscal 2015 is 4.78% of a 12 quarter rolling average market value.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The UCLA Foundation charges a one-time fee of 6.5% of the initial value of all gifts. Recurring fees include 100% of all short-term interest on campus current fund balances, with some exceptions and an annual endowment cost recovery fee of 0.65% of the fair value, calculated quarterly.

### University of California, Los Angeles Foundation Portfolio

#### Asset Allocation


June 30, 2014

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Equity	\$990	57%	45%	12%	15%	75%	Yes
Private Eq/Venture Cap	\$201	12%	15%	-3%	10%	25%	Yes
Multi-Strategy	\$188	11%	15%	-4%	5%	20%	Yes
Credit	\$126	7%	5%	2%	0%	15%	Yes
Real Assets	\$119	7%	10%	-3%	0%	10%	Yes
Real Estate	\$71	4%	5%	-1%	0%	10%	Yes
Cash	\$38	2%	5%	-3%	0%	10%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$1,733</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				


As of January 1, 2013, UCLA implemented a new investment Policy using static weights. Prior to January 1, 2013, the UCLA Foundation Policy Benchmark reflects actual weights.

## THE UCLA FOUNDATION



**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years  
UC Los Angeles - Portfolio and Benchmark**



**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift  
UC Los Angeles - Portfolio and Benchmark**



## THE UCLA FOUNDATION



## THE UCLA FOUNDATION

University of California, Los Angeles Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
		Total Return				Annualized Total Return				
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value	Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year	
<b>UCLA Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$1,732,844,956</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3.69%</b>	<b>13.70%</b>	<b>5.51%</b>	<b>13.70%</b>	<b>8.86%</b>	<b>10.98%</b>	<b>4.16%</b>	<b>6.90%</b>
Policy Benchmark			3.30%	15.97%	5.93%	15.97%	8.12%	9.98%	3.73%	6.21%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+0.39%	-2.27%	-0.42%	-2.27%	+0.74%	+1.00%	+0.43%	+0.69%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$435,976,869</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>4.70%</b>	<b>20.04%</b>	<b>6.93%</b>	<b>20.04%</b>	<b>16.42%</b>	<b>21.51%</b>	<b>8.38%</b>	
Russell 3000			4.87%	25.22%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%	19.33%	6.47%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.17%	-5.18%	-0.01%	-5.18%	-0.04%	+2.18%	+1.91%	
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	<b>\$235,704,024</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1.37%</b>	<b>15.86%</b>	<b>2.76%</b>	<b>15.86%</b>	<b>6.04%</b>	<b>11.60%</b>	<b>1.35%</b>	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%	5.73%	11.11%	1.27%	
Variance to Benchmark			-3.66%	-5.89%	-2.80%	-5.89%	+0.31%	+0.49%	+0.08%	
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>\$190,164,930</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5.89%</b>	<b>18.85%</b>	<b>5.50%</b>	<b>18.85%</b>	<b>18.85%</b>			
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.86%	-2.90%	-0.06%	-2.90%				
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	<b>\$128,430,096</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>6.16%</b>	<b>6.96%</b>	<b>2.63%</b>	<b>6.96%</b>				
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%				
Variance to Benchmark			+1.13%	-14.79%	-2.93%	-14.79%				
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$63,887,708</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1.96%</b>	<b>6.63%</b>	<b>5.35%</b>	<b>6.63%</b>	<b>6.73%</b>	<b>7.55%</b>	<b>7.61%</b>	
Barclays Aggregate Bond			2.04%	4.37%	3.93%	4.37%	3.66%	4.85%	5.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.08%	+2.26%	+1.42%	+2.26%	+3.07%	+2.70%	+2.26%	
<b>TIPS</b>	<b>N/A</b>									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	<b>\$62,416,489</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.25%</b>	<b>15.56%</b>	<b>6.28%</b>	<b>15.56%</b>				
BofA ML U.S. High Yield Master II Index			2.57%	11.80%	5.64%	11.80%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.68%	+3.76%	+0.64%	+3.76%				
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	<b>N/A</b>									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	<b>N/A</b>									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Private Equity <sup>2)</sup></b>	<b>\$200,531,415</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>2.91%</b>	<b>16.44%</b>	<b>9.53%</b>	<b>16.44%</b>	<b>12.62%</b>	<b>13.54%</b>	<b>6.79%</b>	
CUSTOM PRIVATE EQUITY/VC BENCHMARK			3.81%	23.45%	12.92%	23.45%	13.64%	14.15%	7.20%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.90%	-7.01%	-3.39%	-7.01%	-1.02%	-0.61%	-0.41%	
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds. <sup>3)</sup></b>	<b>\$182,927,250</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0.99%</b>	<b>1.78%</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>1.78%</b>	<b>3.95%</b>	<b>4.71%</b>	<b>1.72%</b>	
CUSTOM HEDGE FUND INDEX			0.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.08%	-2.29%	0.20%	-2.20%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.97%	+1.70%	-0.03%	+1.70%	+6.24%	+4.51%	+3.92%	
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy <sup>4)</sup></b>	<b>\$118,968,276</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>8.02%</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>12.37%</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>5.03%</b>	<b>8.19%</b>	<b>5.62%</b>	
CUSTOM REAL ASSETS BENCHMARK			2.34%	8.19%	5.28%	8.19%	2.55%	6.65%	4.54%	
Variance to Benchmark			+5.68%	+8.48%	+7.09%	+8.48%	+2.48%	+1.54%	+1.08%	
<b>Real Estate <sup>5)</sup></b>	<b>\$70,865,131</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.79%</b>	<b>15.55%</b>	<b>6.43%</b>	<b>15.55%</b>	<b>16.94%</b>	<b>10.64%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	
REAL ESTATE COMPOSITE BENCHMARK			2.91%	11.21%	5.73%	11.21%	11.92%	8.80%	4.26%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.88%	+4.34%	+0.70%	+4.34%	+5.02%	+1.84%	-3.26%	
<b>Liquidity Accounts <sup>6)</sup></b>	<b>\$37,968,246</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0.49%</b>	<b>1.49%</b>	<b>0.76%</b>	<b>1.49%</b>	<b>-0.04%</b>			
CUSTOM CASH INDEX			0.01%	0.04%	0.02%	0.04%	0.09%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.48%	+1.45%	+0.74%	+1.45%	-0.13%			
<b>Custody/Consultant Fees Account <sup>7)</sup></b>	<b>(\$6,000)</b>	<b>0%</b>								
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>										
Regents GEP <sup>8)</sup>	\$5,010,523	0%	5.33%	19.05%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.21%	7.72%
Regents Private Real Estate	\$8,342,748	0%	3.27%	17.38%	10.51%	17.38%	13.91%	-11.88%		
Regents Vintage Private Equity	\$4,601,814	0%	2.87%	21.62%	9.55%	21.62%	13.04%	14.85%	9.00%	

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Private asset valuations typically lag between 60 and 90 days.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates from Regents GEP on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>2)</sup> The Misc Assets' line item was moved from Liquidity to Private Equity for the period Q2 2009 and before. The Private Equity asset class performance and market value includes the Regents Vintage Private Equity which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those funds managed by the UC Regents.

The Custom Private Equity/VC Benchmark uses 1 Quarter lagged Cambridge PE/VC returns; prior to 1/1/2013, it uses actual returns;

<sup>3)</sup> The Custom Hedge Fund Index uses Citigroup 3 Month T-Bill X2 return; prior to 1/1/2013, it uses HFRX Absolute Return Marketable Blended Index with a 50/50 blend of the HFRX Absolute Return Strategies Index and the HFRX Market Directional Index.<sup>4)</sup> The Custom Real Assets Benchmark uses CPI-All Urban + 6% return; prior to 1/1/2013, it uses actual returns.<sup>5)</sup> The Real Estate asset class performance and market value includes the Regents Private Real Estate which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those funds managed by the UC Regents.

The Real Estate Composite Index uses NCREIF Property Index; prior to 1/1/2013, it uses NCREIF ODCE index.

<sup>6)</sup> State Street consistently uses an Internal Rate of Return (IRR) methodology to calculate monthly performance for all UC Foundation Endowments. IRR is an industry accepted approximation of a true Time Weighted Return (TWR), but can be significantly impacted by excessive cash flows (>10% of the fund). In the case of UCLA's Liquidity portfolio, there are several months where Net Cash Flows (NCF) exceeded the composite's assets by 100% and more. This significant flow activity is impacting the IRR of the composite and being reflected as a substantial negative return, not indicative of typical short-term performance. Due to a lack of daily valuation data, a TWR calculation is not possible.

The Liquidity composite had contained a fee paying account which was significantly impacting the composite's returns to the downside. To mitigate the impact of the fee account, it was removed from the Liquidity composite as of Q4 2011 and now rolls directly to the Total Plan. As a result, the sum of the asset class composites does not match the Total Plan market value by the amount of the fee paying account.

The Custom Cash Index uses Citigroup 3 Month T-Bill index; prior to 1/1/2013, it uses Lipper Institutional Money Market Funds Index.

<sup>7)</sup> This Fees account is not part of any of the strategies and it rolls directly to the Total Plan.<sup>8)</sup> The GEP is not broken out by investments; therefore, the sub asset class information is not shown above. Also, the 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as 6/30/2014 market value and GEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

# THE UCLA FOUNDATION

University of California, Los Angeles Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
			Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>Risk Focus</b>										
<b>UCLA Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>	<b>\$1,732,844,956</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3.69%</b>	<b>13.70%</b>	<b>5.51%</b>	<b>13.70%</b>	<b>8.86%</b>	<b>10.98%</b>	<b>4.16%</b>	<b>6.90%</b>
Policy Benchmark			3.30%	15.97%	5.93%	15.97%	8.12%	9.98%	3.73%	6.21%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+0.39%	-2.27%	-0.42%	-2.27%	+0.74%	+1.00%	+0.43%	+0.69%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$435,976,869</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>4.70%</b>	<b>20.04%</b>	<b>6.93%</b>	<b>20.04%</b>				
Russell 3000			4.87%	25.22%	6.94%	25.22%				
Variance to Benchmark			-0.17%	-5.18%	-0.01%	-5.18%				
<b>International Equity</b>	<b>\$364,134,120</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>2.89%</b>	<b>14.61%</b>	<b>2.99%</b>	<b>14.61%</b>				
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%				
Variance to Benchmark			-2.14%	-7.14%	-2.57%	-7.14%				
<b>Global Equity</b>	<b>\$190,164,930</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5.89%</b>	<b>18.85%</b>	<b>5.50%</b>	<b>18.85%</b>				
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.86%	-2.90%	-0.06%	-2.90%				
<b>Private Equity/Venture Cap <sup>2)</sup></b>	<b>\$200,531,415</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>2.91%</b>	<b>16.44%</b>	<b>9.53%</b>	<b>16.44%</b>				
CAMBRIDGE PE/VC INDEX			3.81%	23.45%	12.92%	23.45%				
Variance to Benchmark			-0.90%	-7.01%	-3.39%	-7.01%				
<b>Multi-Strategy</b>	<b>\$187,937,772</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1.10%</b>	<b>2.14%</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>2.14%</b>				
CITIGROUP 3 MONTH T-BILL X2			0.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.08%				
Variance to Benchmark			+1.08%	+2.06%	+0.16%	+2.06%				
<b>Credit</b>	<b>\$126,304,197</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2.58%</b>	<b>10.65%</b>	<b>5.80%</b>	<b>10.65%</b>				
BofA ML U.S. High Yield Master II Index			2.57%	11.80%	5.64%	11.80%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.01%	-1.15%	+0.16%	-1.15%				
<b>Real Assets</b>	<b>\$118,968,276</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>8.02%</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>12.37%</b>	<b>16.67%</b>				
CPI-All Urban + 6%			2.34%	8.19%	5.28%	8.19%				
Variance to Benchmark			+5.68%	+8.48%	+7.09%	+8.48%				
<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>\$70,865,131</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.79%</b>	<b>15.55%</b>	<b>6.43%</b>	<b>15.55%</b>				
NCREIF PROPERTY INDEX			2.91%	11.21%	5.73%	11.21%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.88%	+4.34%	+0.70%	+4.34%				
<b>Cash</b>	<b>\$37,962,246</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0.47%</b>	<b>1.45%</b>	<b>0.74%</b>	<b>1.45%</b>				
CITIGROUP 3 MONTH T-BILL			0.01%	0.04%	0.02%	0.04%				
Variance to Benchmark			+0.46%	+1.41%	+0.72%	+1.41%				

**Notes:**

Returns are net of all fees.

Private asset valuations typically lag between 60 and 90 days.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.<sup>2)</sup> The Cambridge PE/VC Index uses 1 quarter lagged Cambridge PE/VC returns.



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

UC Merced Foundation's investment objectives are: (1) provide investment earnings adequate to secure the benefits promised and the financial obligations created by the endowment, and (2) secure, preserve, and increase the inflation-adjusted value of the Fund.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The spending policy of the UC Merced Foundation is to provide 100% of the endowment earnings up to a maximum spending payout rate of 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The University expects that funds privately raised shall support the development activities of the Chancellor and staff. Currently, the University policy to accomplish this principle is the following: Upon the receipt of all gifts to UC Merced or the UC Merced Foundation, a fee of 5% of the initial value of the gift shall be assessed and deposited in a Chancellor's Discretionary Fund and shall support the necessary operations for the development function within the Chancellor's campus administration. The fee may be taken:

- (1) from the principal if specified by the donor,
- (2) by holding the gift in a suspense account until the fee is earned, or
- (3) from another acceptable fund source.

Any waiver of the 5% fee shall be communicated in writing from the Chancellor to the Vice Chancellor for Business and Administrative Services. It is the responsibility of the Vice Chancellor for Development and Alumni Relations to establish an appropriate method for notifying donors to UC Merced and the UC Merced Foundation of the fee assessment and its purposes. Additionally, all of the STIP income earned on endowment earnings held on campus and on current use gifts shall be deposited into a Chancellor's Discretionary Fund. There is also a recurring charge of 0.55% for endowment administration costs. The fee covers the costs of administering and carrying out the terms of the endowments.

### University of California, Merced Foundation Portfolio



#### Asset Allocation

June 30, 2014



	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$3	34%	33%	1%	24%	44%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$1	10%	13%	-3%	8%	18%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$5	55%	54%	1%	39%	69%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$0	1%	0%	1%	0%	10%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$8</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				

The UC Merced Foundation utilizes the GEP Policy Benchmark. Policy Benchmark Allocations shown are the GEP Current Policy Allocation.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION

University of California, Merced Foundation Portfolio								
June 30, 2014								
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year
<b>UCM Foundation Aggregate</b> <sup>1)</sup>	<b>\$8,186,017</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5.33%</b>	<b>7.84%</b>	<b>19.05%</b>	<b>9.80%</b>	<b>12.11%</b>	<b>5.21%</b>
Policy Benchmark <sup>2)</sup>			<b>3.85%</b>	<b>6.33%</b>	<b>16.56%</b>	<b>7.79%</b>	<b>10.00%</b>	<b>4.93%</b>
Variance to Policy Benchmark			<b>+1.48%</b>	<b>+1.51%</b>	<b>+2.49%</b>	<b>+2.01%</b>	<b>+2.11%</b>	<b>+0.28%</b>
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	<b>\$1,331,608</b>	<b>16%</b>						
U.S. EQUITY B-MARK R3000 TF								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	<b>\$913,293</b>	<b>11%</b>						
EAFE TF + CANADA (NET)								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	<b>\$532,187</b>	<b>7%</b>						
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$291,002</b>	<b>4%</b>						
BC AGGREGATE								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>TIPS</b>	<b>\$155,158</b>	<b>2%</b>						
BC U.S. TIPS								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	<b>\$182,595</b>	<b>2%</b>						
ML HIGH YLD US CORP, CASH PAY								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	<b>\$213,097</b>	<b>3%</b>						
FI TOTAL EMERGING MKTS BENCHMARK								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Private Equity</b>	<b>\$849,124</b>	<b>10%</b>						
GEP PRIVATE EQUITY POLICY								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Absolute Return - Diversified</b>	<b>\$1,828,799</b>	<b>22%</b>						
Weighted HRFX (prev. 30-Day Tbill +4.5%)								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Real Assets</b>	<b>\$217,334</b>	<b>3%</b>						
GEP REAL ASSETS LAGGED BENCHMARK								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Opportunistic Equity</b>	<b>\$758,104</b>	<b>9%</b>						
MSCI AC World Net (Daily)								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Private Real Estate</b>	<b>\$610,860</b>	<b>7%</b>						
GEP PRIVATE RE POLICY BENCHMARK								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Public Real Estate</b>	<b>\$33,300</b>	<b>0%</b>						
FTSE EPRA NAREIT GLOBAL INDEX								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Absolute Return - CAC</b>	<b>\$221,685</b>	<b>3%</b>						
GEP AR CAC LAGGED								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	<b>\$47,870</b>	<b>1%</b>						
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>								
Regents GEP <sup>2)</sup>	<b>\$8,186,017</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5.33%</b>	<b>7.84%</b>	<b>19.05%</b>	<b>9.80%</b>	<b>12.11%</b>	<b>5.21%</b>

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

<sup>1)</sup> 6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.<sup>2)</sup> The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

6/30/2014 market value and GEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>3)</sup> UCM is 100% invested in GEP

## UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The investment objective of the endowment fund is to generate returns net of all fees that will support the spending objectives. To do this, the Foundation seeks to maximize the investment return within a level of risk deemed appropriate taking all these objectives into account. The spending objectives of the endowment fund are to pay out amounts that are relatively predictable and stable, sustainable in real terms (i.e., on an inflation-adjusted basis), and as large as possible. To meet these objectives, both the spending per unit and the unit market value after spending must grow over time at least as fast as the general rate of inflation.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The endowment spending policy applicable to FYE 2014 was to withdraw per unit 4% of the average unit market value of the endowment fund calculated using the closing unit market value on the last day of each of the 84 contiguous months the last of which ended on May 31, 2014.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

A one-time Gift Service Fee of 5% is charged on all cash gifts received by the University based on the principal value of the gift. The fee is collected either from the initial interest/income earned by the gift, directly from the gift principal or the recipient of the gift may provide the fee from another acceptable fund source. An Administration Cost Recovery Fee is charged against all existing endowments and endowment-related gift funds at an annual rate of 0.50% (50 basis points) of the average unit market value as calculated per the UCR Foundation spending policy. Campus collects 100% of short term ordinary income on campus and Foundation current fund balances. All proceeds from fees become a Chancellorial resource intended to help defray development and gift administration costs.

University of California, Riverside Foundation Portfolio							
Asset Allocation							
June 30, 2014							
	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$109	85%	89%	-4%	0%	100%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$12	9%	10%	-1%	0%	40%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$7	5%	1%	4%	0%	16%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$129</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				


Notes:

The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.


The total fund benchmark reflects 85% MSCI AC World, 11% Barclays Capital Aggregate and 4% Citigroup 3 Month T-Bill.

## UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION



**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years**  
UC Riverside - Portfolio and Benchmark



**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift**  
UC Riverside - Portfolio and Benchmark



## UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION



## UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION

University of California, Riverside Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
			Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCR Foundation Aggregate</b> <sup>1)</sup>	\$128,909,575	100%	3.04%	18.17%	4.71%	18.17%	8.68%	12.55%	5.42%	8.39%
Policy Benchmark <sup>2)</sup>			4.50%	19.11%	5.71%	19.11%	9.08%	12.07%	4.51%	7.46%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-1.46%	-0.94%	-1.00%	-0.94%	-0.40%	+0.48%	+0.91%	+0.93%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	\$36,007,319	28%	5.61%	26.16%	7.25%	26.16%	14.18%			
BLENDED US EQUITY BENCHMARK			5.23%	24.61%	7.14%	24.61%	15.00%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.38%	+1.55%	+0.11%	+1.55%	-0.82%			
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b> <sup>3)</sup>	\$19,441,372	15%	0.50%		6.53%					
MSCI EAFE (NET)			4.09%		4.78%					
Variance to Benchmark			-3.59%		+1.75%					
<b>Global Equity</b>	\$50,807,022	39%	2.34%		3.47%					
MSCI AC WORLD INDEX			5.04%		6.18%					
Variance to Benchmark			-2.70%		-2.71%					
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Global Balanced</b>	\$10,167,382	8%	3.41%	16.25%	6.43%	16.25%	8.15%	11.83%	4.90%	
GLOBAL BALANCED INDEX			4.13%	18.34%	5.16%	18.34%	8.51%	11.76%	4.46%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.72%	-2.09%	+1.27%	-2.09%	-0.36%	+0.07%	+0.44%	
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	\$2,044,627	2%								
Barclays Aggregate Bond										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>TIPS</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	\$9,678,070	8%	3.23%	13.32%	6.21%	13.32%	8.11%	15.07%		
BofAML HIGH YIELD MASTER II			2.57%	11.80%	5.64%	11.80%	9.27%	13.94%		
Variance to Benchmark			+0.66%	+1.52%	+0.57%	+1.52%	-1.16%	+1.13%		
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Private Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>	\$275,208	0%	-1.35%	-2.46%	-0.25%	-2.46%	-10.59%	4.88%	0.08%	
LIPPER NATURAL RESOURCES FD INDEX			12.82%	31.83%	16.62%	31.83%	9.54%	15.42%	4.43%	
Variance to Benchmark			-14.17%	-34.29%	-16.87%	-34.29%	-20.13%	-10.54%	-4.35%	
<b>Real Estate</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	\$488,575	0%	0.34%	1.61%	0.71%	1.61%	1.69%	1.74%	1.91%	
CITIGROUP 3 MONTH T-BILL			0.01%	0.04%	0.02%	0.04%	0.05%	0.08%	0.64%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.33%	+1.57%	+0.69%	+1.57%	+1.64%	+1.66%	+1.27%	

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

<sup>2)</sup> The UC Riverside policy benchmark is a blend of the MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI), Barclays Aggregate and Citigroup 3 Month T-Bill. The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy

<sup>3)</sup> The Non-US Developed Equity was funded in mid-month November 2013 and did not have a full quarter return as of 12/31/2013.



## UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The UC San Diego Foundation's primary investment goal for its endowment is to maximize long-term total return, utilizing a diversified portfolio consistent with prudent levels of risk. Endowment portfolio performance is expected to preserve or enhance the real value of the endowment and the purchasing power of the spending. The portfolio return goal is to achieve an annualized total net return at least equivalent to, and preferably exceeding, the endowment spending rate plus inflation, over rolling five and ten year periods. The investment policy target asset allocation is 16% U.S. Equity, 20% Non-U.S. Equity, 6% Global Equity, 8% Private Equity, 19% Absolute Return, 8% Real Estate, 11% Other Alternatives, and 12% Fixed Income.

### Endowment Spending Policy

Endowment spending during fiscal year 2013-14 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value of the endowment portfolio. Spending is allocated to fund holders monthly.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

During fiscal year 2013-14, the San Diego campus assessed a one-time fee of 6% on the initial value of all gifts made to either the Foundation or The Regents. An annual recurring endowment cost recovery fee of 0.40% is included in the 4.75% spending policy calculation and taken from payout. All of the gift fees and the endowment fees were used to provide funding for the centrally managed fundraising and related operations of the campus. During fiscal 2013-14, the campus assessed all interest earned on the balances of current use gift and private grant funds held by both the Foundation and the campus, which becomes a campus resource.

### University of California, San Diego Foundation Portfolio


#### Asset Allocation

June 30, 2014


	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$220	43%	42%	1%	35%	55%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$58	11%	12%	-1%	5%	20%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$232	45%	46%	-1%	30%	55%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$2	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$513</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				

## UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION



**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years**  
UC San Diego - Portfolio and Benchmark



**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift**  
UC San Diego - Portfolio and Benchmark



## UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION



## UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION

University of California, San Diego Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
			Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCSD Foundation Aggregate</b> <sup>1)</sup>	\$512,984,721	100%	4.95%	18.15%	7.47%	18.15%	9.57%	12.53%	5.06%	7.57%
Policy Benchmark			3.43%	15.79%	5.21%	15.79%	8.68%	11.20%	4.81%	7.14%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.52%	+2.36%	+2.26%	+2.36%	+0.89%	+1.33%	+0.25%	+0.43%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	\$29,019,459	6%	4.82%	26.14%	7.10%	26.14%	16.52%	20.43%	7.92%	
Russell 3000			4.87%	25.22%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%	19.33%	6.47%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.05%	+0.92%	+0.16%	+0.92%	+0.06%	+1.10%	+1.45%	
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	\$32,650,354	6%	4.08%	23.40%	4.74%	23.40%	7.98%	11.62%		
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%	5.73%	11.11%		
Variance to Benchmark			-0.95%	+1.65%	-0.82%	+1.65%	+2.25%	+0.51%		
<b>Global Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Opportunistic Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	\$6,227,713	1%	7.32%	14.10%	6.13%	14.10%	-1.10%	8.79%	1.57%	
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS			6.60%	14.31%	6.14%	14.31%	-0.39%	9.24%	2.28%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.72%	-0.21%	-0.01%	-0.21%	-0.71%	-0.45%	-0.71%	
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>TIPS</b>	\$8,581,383	2%	3.74%	4.47%	5.90%	4.47%	3.56%			
Barclays US TIPS Index			4.06%	4.72%	6.35%	4.72%	3.69%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.32%	-0.25%	-0.45%	-0.25%	-0.13%			
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	\$8,534,145	2%	2.21%	10.36%	5.04%	10.36%				
Barclays Corp High Yield			2.41%	11.73%	5.46%	11.73%				
Variance to Benchmark			-0.20%	-1.37%	-0.42%	-1.37%				
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Private Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b> <sup>4)</sup>	\$29,384,873	6%	3.11%	10.10%	8.23%	10.10%				
Benchmark			2.11%	7.18%	4.80%	7.18%				
Variance to Benchmark			+1.00%	+2.92%	+3.43%	+2.92%				
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Real Estate</b>	\$4,022,851	1%	6.53%	11.27%	15.05%	11.27%	12.23%	17.22%	3.25%	
NCREIF PROPERTY INDEX			2.91%	11.21%	5.73%	11.21%	11.32%	9.67%	4.86%	
Variance to Benchmark			+3.62%	+0.06%	+9.32%	+0.06%	+0.91%	+7.55%	-1.61%	
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Short-Term Fixed Income</b> <sup>3)</sup>	\$5,000,000	1%	0.34%							
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>										
Regents CEP <sup>2)</sup>	\$352,572,280	69%	5.33%	19.05%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.21%	7.72%
Regents STIP <sup>2)</sup>	\$298,459	0%	0.34%	1.61%	0.72%	1.61%	2.03%	2.25%	2.79%	3.20%
Regents Real Estate <sup>5)</sup>	\$6,667,193	1%	3.15%	18.60%	10.21%	18.60%	13.29%	8.54%	-1.61%	
Regents Absolute Return <sup>5)</sup>	\$21,986,270	4%	3.83%	12.79%	7.16%	12.79%	6.47%	8.48%	4.30%	
Regents Vintage Private Equity <sup>5)</sup>	\$8,039,742	2%	8.70%	28.96%	17.82%	28.96%	14.89%	16.21%	9.22%	

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Aggregate market value excludes "other" endowed assets.

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates from Regents CEP, Regents Real Estate, Regents Absolute Return and Regents Vintage Private Equity

<sup>2)</sup> The 10-Year return reflects the actual CEP/STIP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

6/30/2014 market value and CEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>3)</sup> This Short-Term Fixed Income account is not part of any of the strategies and it rolls directly to the Total Fixed Income and Total Plan.<sup>4)</sup> The Contrarian performance was moved from Opportunistic Equity to Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds asset class effective on Q4 2013.<sup>5)</sup> 6/30/2014 market values and returns reflect the latest updated valuations.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The San Francisco Foundation's primary investment objective for its endowment portfolio is growth of principal sufficient to preserve purchasing power and to provide income to support current and future University activities. Over the long term, it is the goal of the Foundation that the total return on investment assets should equal the rate of inflation, plus the payout rate (which is used to support current activities), plus an amount reinvested to support future activities.

### Endowment Spending Policy

A portion of the endowment pool will be expended annually. The amount of the distribution will be determined by the payout policy of the Foundation, modified by donors' wishes where applicable. The Foundation policy is to distribute 5% of the market value of the endowment pool calculated on a 36-month rolling average of the market value, subject to a 6% cap and a 3.5% floor as a percent of the end of year endowment pool market value. The payout rate is reviewed annually, which may result in modification. The payout is distributed once a year following the close of the fiscal year. Undistributed income and gains from investment activities are reinvested in the Endowment Pool.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The University of California, San Francisco Foundation directs a one-time assessment of 4% of the initial value of gifts to assist in funding UCSF central infrastructure and administrative services. The University also charges gift and endowment income funds an amount equal to 6% of income for infrastructure and operations costs. Gifts for scholarships are exempt from these assessments. An annual administrative fee of 40 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation. The University directs 100% of interest income earned on unexpended current gift funds to fund UCSF Central infrastructure and administrative services.

### University of California, San Francisco Foundation Portfolio

#### Asset Allocation


June 30, 2014

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$434	46%	45%	1%	20%	60%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$116	12%	15%	-3%	10%	40%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$298	32%	40%	-8%	18%	78%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$94	10%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10.0%
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$942</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				


Note: Per UCSF's direction, the Policy Benchmark composition was retroactively revised to include the Hedge Funds, Real Estate and Hard Assets.

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years  
UC San Francisco - Portfolio and Benchmark**




**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift  
UC San Francisco - Portfolio and Benchmark**




Ending Values June 30, 2014		Annualized Returns Jun 02 - Jun 14	
San Francisco	\$22,816	San Francisco	7.1%
Benchmark	\$22,475	Benchmark	7.0%

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

**Excess Return UC San Francisco Portfolio versus Benchmark  
September 2009 to June 2014**



**UC San Francisco Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class**



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

University of California, San Francisco Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014										
		Total Return				Annualized Total Return				
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCSF Foundation Aggregate</b> <sup>1)</sup>	\$942,440,281	100%	3.59%	15.94%	5.85%	15.94%	8.41%	11.50%	4.18%	6.79%
Policy Benchmark <sup>2)</sup>			3.66%	16.74%	5.74%	16.74%	8.52%	11.33%	3.62%	6.34%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-0.07%	-0.80%	+0.11%	-0.80%	-0.11%	+0.17%	+0.56%	+0.45%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	\$214,331,871	23%	4.47%	22.48%	7.10%	22.48%	16.25%	20.32%	6.48%	8.65%
Russell 3000			4.87%	25.22%	6.94%	25.22%	16.46%	19.33%	6.47%	8.23%
Variance to Benchmark			-0.40%	-2.74%	+0.16%	-2.74%	-0.21%	+0.99%	+0.01%	+0.42%
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	\$166,731,965	18%	2.95%	23.49%	5.31%	23.49%	10.05%	14.79%	4.91%	9.11%
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			5.03%	21.75%	5.56%	21.75%	5.73%	11.11%	1.27%	7.75%
Variance to Benchmark			-2.08%	+1.74%	-0.25%	+1.74%	+4.32%	+3.68%	+3.64%	+1.36%
<b>Global Equity</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	\$53,348,513	6%	6.55%	13.31%	7.78%	13.31%	2.74%	11.51%	2.93%	
S&P/IFC EM INVESTABLE COMPOSITE			7.51%	16.00%	6.82%	16.00%	0.51%	10.14%	2.88%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.96%	-2.69%	+0.96%	-2.69%	+2.23%	+1.37%	+0.05%	
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	\$75,356,036	8%	2.24%	6.77%	4.53%	6.77%	5.19%	7.04%	6.58%	6.02%
Barclays Aggregate Bond			2.04%	4.37%	3.93%	4.37%	3.66%	4.85%	5.35%	4.93%
Variance to Benchmark			+0.20%	+2.40%	+0.60%	+2.40%	+1.53%	+2.19%	+1.23%	+1.09%
<b>TIPS</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>	\$40,895,964	4%	3.17%	6.75%	5.51%	6.75%	4.95%			
Citigroup WGBI (All Maturities)			2.27%	6.85%	5.00%	6.85%	1.57%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.90%	-0.10%	+0.51%	-0.10%	+3.38%			
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	N/A									
Benchmark										
Variance to Benchmark										
<b>Private Equity</b>	\$37,551,484	4%	4.86%	15.83%	9.77%	15.83%	10.90%	12.05%	6.65%	10.69%
S&P 500 + 7%			7.03%	33.33%	10.82%	33.33%	24.75%	27.14%	13.63%	15.32%
Variance to Benchmark			-2.17%	-17.50%	-1.05%	-17.50%	-13.85%	-15.09%	-6.98%	-4.63%
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b> <sup>3)</sup>	\$182,256,122	19%	2.86%	12.09%	4.88%	12.09%	8.42%	10.76%	4.33%	
HFRI Fund Weighted Composite Index			2.03%	9.08%	3.17%	9.08%	4.03%	6.49%	3.16%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.83%	+3.01%	+1.71%	+3.01%	+4.39%	+4.27%	+1.17%	
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>	\$59,762,873	6%	6.57%	14.63%	9.26%	14.63%	-4.33%	4.25%	3.32%	11.05%
Bloomberg Commodity Index TR			0.08%	8.21%	7.08%	8.21%	-5.17%	1.99%	-2.69%	0.87%
Variance to Benchmark			+6.49%	+6.42%	+2.18%	+6.42%	+0.84%	+2.26%	+6.01%	+10.18%
<b>Real Estate</b>	\$18,171,224	2%	4.64%	18.69%	10.50%	18.69%	12.15%	4.75%	-1.52%	5.48%
REAL ESTATE BENCHMARK			2.91%	11.21%	5.73%	11.21%	11.32%	9.67%	-3.75%	3.12%
Variance to Benchmark			+1.73%	+7.48%	+4.77%	+7.48%	+0.83%	-4.92%	+2.23%	+2.36%
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	\$94,034,229	10%	0.00%	-0.10%	-0.04%	-0.10%	-0.31%	-0.33%	0.24%	1.13%
91 Day Treasury Bill			0.01%	0.05%	0.02%	0.05%	0.07%	0.11%	0.73%	1.65%
Variance to Benchmark			-0.01%	-0.15%	-0.06%	-0.15%	-0.38%	-0.44%	-0.49%	-0.52%

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

<sup>1)</sup> UCSF 10yr performance is available as the result of a direct relationship with State Street.<sup>2)</sup> Per UCSF's direction, the Policy Benchmark composition was retroactively revised to include the Hedge Funds, Real Estate and Hard Assets.<sup>3)</sup> The Absolute Return/Marketable Alternatives/Hedge Fund asset class includes the sub composite for the Opportunistic asset class.



## UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

A. The primary long-term financial objective for the Foundation's Long Term Investment Policy (LTIP) is to preserve the purchasing power of the LTIP's principal, while providing a relatively stable and growing source of funding for endowment and trust beneficiaries.

B. The primary long-term investment objective of the LTIP is to earn an average annual real (i.e., after adjusting for inflation) total return on a risk-adjusted basis that is at least equal to the LTIP's total spending rate, net of consultant and management fees, over long time periods (i.e., rolling ten-year periods). Over shorter time periods (i.e., rolling five-year periods), the LTIP will seek to meet or exceed an appropriate composite of market indices reflecting the LTIP's asset allocation policies.

### Endowment Spending Policy

Endowment spending during fiscal year 2013-2014 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.75% of the 60-month average unit market value of the endowment portfolio as of December 31, 2012. Spending is allocated to fund holders in September (40%) and April (60%).

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses


During fiscal year 2013-14, the Santa Barbara campus assessed a one-time fee of 6% on the initial value of all gifts made to either the Foundation or The Regents. There was also a recurring charge of 0.60% annually on the market value of the Foundation's endowment funds as of December 31, 2012. All of the gift fees and the endowment fees were used to provide funding for the centrally managed fundraising and related operations of the campus. During fiscal 2013-14, the campus assessed all interest earned on the balances of current use gift and private grant funds held by both the Foundation and the campus, which was allocated through the campus budget process.

### University of California, Santa Barbara Foundation Portfolio Asset Allocation June 30, 2014


	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$74	54%	55%	-1%	36%	56%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$25	18%	17%	1%	10%	30%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$36	26%	27%	-1%	27%	47%	-1.1%
Liquidity Portfolio	\$3	2%	1%	1%	0%	10%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				

## UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION



**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years  
UC Santa Barbara - Portfolio and Benchmark**



**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift  
UC Santa Barbara - Portfolio and Benchmark**



## UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION



## UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION

University of California, Santa Barbara Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014											
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus		Market Value		Total Return				Annualized Total Return			
				Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCSB Foundation Aggregate <sup>1)</sup></b>		\$137,623,855	100%	3.76%	14.42%	4.65%	14.42%	6.77%	11.02%	2.77%	5.96%
Policy Benchmark				3.72%	16.30%	5.36%	16.30%	8.39%	13.04%	5.41%	7.57%
Variance to Policy Benchmark				+0.04%	-1.88%	-0.71%	-1.88%	-1.62%	-2.02%	-2.64%	-1.61%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>		\$36,083,575	26%	4.37%	24.37%	5.17%	24.37%	14.80%	17.38%		
S&P 500				5.23%	24.61%	7.14%	24.61%	16.58%	18.83%		
Variance to Benchmark				-0.86%	-0.24%	-1.97%	-0.24%	-1.78%	-1.45%		
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>		\$30,761,529	22%	4.31%	23.99%	5.33%	23.99%	8.09%	10.75%		
MSCI EAFE (NET)				4.09%	23.57%	4.78%	23.57%	8.10%	11.77%		
Variance to Benchmark				+0.22%	+0.42%	+0.55%	+0.42%	-0.01%	-1.02%		
<b>Global Equity <sup>2)</sup></b>		NA									
Benchmark											
Variance to Benchmark											
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>		\$7,341,022	5%	8.48%	14.44%	7.51%	14.44%	-1.03%	8.20%		
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS				6.60%	14.31%	6.14%	14.31%	-0.39%	9.24%		
Variance to Benchmark				+1.88%	+0.13%	+1.37%	+0.13%	-0.64%	-1.04%		
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>		\$17,998,636	13%	1.91%	4.85%	3.93%	4.85%	4.82%	6.69%		
Barclays Aggregate Bond				2.04%	4.37%	3.93%	4.37%	3.66%	4.85%		
Variance to Benchmark				-0.13%	+0.48%	+0.00%	+0.48%	+1.16%	+1.84%		
<b>TIPS</b>		NA									
Benchmark											
Variance to Benchmark											
<b>High Yield Debt</b>		\$6,955,617	5%	1.42%	8.42%	3.55%	8.42%	7.21%	12.57%		
BoAML US HY BB-B Rated Constrained Ind				2.49%	11.33%	5.54%	11.33%	8.94%	12.49%		
Variance to Benchmark				-1.07%	-2.91%	-1.99%	-2.91%	-1.73%	+0.08%		
<b>Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.</b>		NA									
Benchmark											
Variance to Benchmark											
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>		NA									
Benchmark											
Variance to Benchmark											
<b>Private Equity</b>		\$9,563,616	7%	3.70%	19.91%	8.75%	19.91%	12.01%	22.61%		
TOTAL PRIVATE EQUITY				3.70%	19.91%	8.75%	19.91%	12.01%	22.61%		
Variance to Benchmark				+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%		
<b>Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.</b>		\$22,112,067	16%	0.94%	5.00%	1.30%	5.00%	3.36%	6.92%		
HFRI FUND OF FUNDS: DIVERSIFIED INDEX				1.52%	7.54%	2.00%	7.54%	3.50%	4.37%		
Variance to Benchmark				-0.58%	-2.54%	-0.70%	-2.54%	-0.14%	+2.55%		
<b>Commodities/Natural Res./Energy</b>		NA									
Benchmark											
Variance to Benchmark											
<b>Real Estate</b>		\$3,964,389	3%	6.15%	5.89%	20.87%	5.89%	8.26%	20.27%		
FTSE EPRA/NAREIT United States Index				6.96%	13.47%	17.82%	13.47%	11.73%	23.63%		
Variance to Benchmark				-0.81%	-7.58%	+3.05%	-7.58%	-3.47%	-3.36%		
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>		\$2,843,403	2%	-0.09%	-0.09%	-0.09%	-0.09%	-0.14%	0.54%		
CITIGROUP 1 MONTH T-BILL				0.01%	0.03%	0.01%	0.03%	0.04%	0.06%		
Variance to Benchmark				-0.10%	-0.12%	-0.10%	-0.12%	-0.18%	+0.48%		

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.<sup>2)</sup> UCSB's Policy has no Global Equity mandate. Their current investment in Global Equity has been re-allocated to both US and Non-US Developed Equity.

## UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION

### Endowment Investment Objective

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation's investment objective for its endowment portfolio is to maximize long-term total return with a prudent level of risk, to provide inflation protection through reinvestment of an appropriate level of realized and unrealized earnings, and to maximize the real rate of return over the long term. The investment policy matches that of the General Endowment Pool.

### Endowment Spending Policy

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation endowment expenditure rate approved June, 2014, is 4.65% times a three-year moving average of December 31 market values. The endowment expenditure formula is reviewed annually and adjusted accordingly with respect to prudent concern for campus needs, donor expectations, and current market conditions. In no event will the corpus be reduced below the amount of the original gift, adjusted by the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) price index, unless specific language of the endowment agreement so allows.

### Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation allocates STIP earnings to uninvested endowment balances when it is earned. The UCSC campus assesses a gift fee of 6% of initial value of gifts. Of the 4.65% endowment expenditures, 0.45% is allocated for administrative expenses of the Foundation. There are no additional annual recurring fees on the Foundation's endowments.

### University of California, Santa Cruz Foundation Portfolio

#### Asset Allocation


June 30, 2014

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$27	34%	33%	1%	24%	44%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$8	10%	13%	-3%	8%	18%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$44	55%	54%	1%	39%	69%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$0	1%	0%	1%	0%	10%	Yes
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$79</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>				


The UC Santa Cruz Foundation utilizes the GEP Policy Benchmark. Policy Benchmark Allocations shown are the GEP Current Policy Allocation.

## UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION

**Annual Endowment Returns for Fiscal Years  
UC Santa Cruz - Portfolio and Benchmark**



**Historical Performance of a \$10,000 Gift  
UC Santa Cruz - Portfolio and Benchmark**





**Ending Values June 30, 2014**

Santa Cruz	Benchmark
\$25,439	\$24,175

**Annualized Returns Jun 02 - Jun 14**

Santa Cruz	Benchmark
8.1%	7.6%

## UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION



## UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION

University of California, Santa Cruz Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2014									
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return			
Core Endowment Model Risk Focus	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Calendar YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year
<b>UCSC Foundation Aggregate</b> <sup>1)</sup>	\$79,266,238	100%	5.33%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.32%	7.74%
Policy Benchmark <sup>3)</sup>			3.85%	6.33%	16.56%	7.79%	10.00%	4.93%	7.23%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.48%	+1.51%	+2.49%	+2.01%	+2.11%	+0.39%	+0.51%
<b>U.S. Equity</b>	\$12,894,129	16%							
U.S. EQUITY B-MARK R3000 TF									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Non-U.S. Developed Equity</b>	\$8,843,533	11%							
EAFE TF + CANADA (NET)									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Emerging Market Equity</b>	\$5,153,233	7%							
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Core Fixed Income</b>	\$2,817,806	4%							
BC AGGREGATE									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>TIPS</b>	\$1,502,417	2%							
BC U.S. TIPS									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>High Yield Debt</b>	\$1,768,095	2%							
ML HIGH YLD US CORP, CASH PAY									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Emerging Market Debt</b>	\$2,063,441	3%							
FI TOTAL EMERGING MKTS BENCHMARK									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Equity</b>	\$8,222,174	10%							
GEP PRIVATE EQUITY POLICY									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Absolute Return - Diversified</b>	\$17,708,495	22%							
Weighted HRFX (prev. 30-Day Tbill +4.5%)									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Real Assets</b>	\$2,104,471	3%							
GEP REAL ASSETS LAGGED BENCHMARK									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Opportunistic Equity</b>	\$7,340,819	9%							
MSCI AC World Net (Daily)									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Private Real Estate</b>	\$5,915,039	7%							
GEP PRIVATE RE POLICY BENCHMARK									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Public Real Estate</b>	\$322,449	0%							
FTSE EPRA NAREIT GLOBAL INDEX									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Absolute Return - CAC</b>	\$2,146,606	3%							
GEP AR CAC LAGGED									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>Liquidity Accounts</b>	\$463,531	1%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
<b>UC Regents Mgd Funds</b>									
Regents GEP <sup>2)</sup>	\$79,266,238	100%	5.33%	7.84%	19.05%	9.80%	12.11%	5.21%	7.72%

## Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

<sup>1)</sup> Returns prior to 7/1/2005 are self-reported, annual returns from the Foundations (FY Ending 2005, FY Ending 2004, etc.). Since no monthly or quarterly returns exist to support these self-reported returns, the 10 year annualized return will only be reported at each fiscal year ending June 30.

6/30/2014 market value and return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>2)</sup> The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

6/30/2014 market value and GEP unit value return reflect the latest updates on Alternatives and Private Assets.

<sup>3)</sup> UCSC is 100% invested in GEP



## 5 Consultant of The Regents Report

---

This section presents Mercer’s review of the investment performance and asset allocation of the University of California Campus Foundations for the periods ending June 30, 2014. The report is based on the investment information provided by State Street and reflects the reconciled and signed-off results of each Foundation.

5.1 Investment Performance Summary .....	56
5.2 Policy Compliance .....	64
5.3 Analysis and Recommendations .....	68


## 5.1 Investment Performance Summary

Global equity markets posted strong gains during the first half of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. However, after a disappointing first quarter the global economy appeared to regain its footing again in the second quarter of 2014 and the MSCI ACWI Index posted strong results ending the year up 23.0%. US equities also posted solid gains of 25.2% for the fiscal year. During the fiscal year 2014, developed markets had a strong start; outperforming US equities by a large margin but this reversed course for the remainder of the year. While hopes of a stronger recovery do not appear to be materializing, developed world growth is likely to remain moderate and downside risks appear low. Financial conditions in emerging market economies appear to have stabilized after a difficult 2013 which saw countries suffer from large capital outflows, currency declines, and inflation spikes. However if reforms fail to materialize, it could place further downward pressures on economies. Emerging market stocks returned 14.3% for the year underperforming developed markets with a gain of 23.6%.

Interest rates increased in the first half of the fiscal year but declined in the second half of the year. Treasury yield movements have been mixed in 2014, with shorter-term rates rising slightly, while intermediate-term and long-term rates have declined. As a result, the yield curve has flattened substantially. Market expectations on the timing and pace of interest rate increases as well as the ultimate endpoint for the Target Rate are the drivers of yield movements. Bond markets anticipate that the FOMC is likely to keep the Target Rate at zero percent until mid-2015 and slowly increase it afterwards. Absent a significant shift in inflation expectations, the process of interest rate normalization should be gradual. The Barclays Aggregate Bond Index returned 4.4% for the year. Credit outperformed Treasuries by a large margin as spreads continued to tighten.

Hedge funds, REITs, commodities and private equity posted solid returns but lagged global equities and outperformed US bonds during the fiscal year.





The ranges of the Campus Foundations' total return investment performance for periods ending June 30, 2014, are shown in the following graph.



All the Foundations posted positive gains for the quarter and remain relatively strong for most periods shown. Performance for the trailing 1- and 5-year periods has improved significantly; however, performance for the trailing 7 years is still modest as it includes the effect of the financial crises.


The Foundations' absolute returns ranged from 3.0% to 5.4% for the quarter and 13.7% to 19.1% for the 1-year period. For 3, 5 and 10 years, most of the Foundations are exceeding the universe median return except for 2 Foundations that underperformed for the 5-year period and 1 Foundation that underperformed for the 3- and 10-year periods. For 7 years, performance relative to the universe median was mixed.

The graphs below show the absolute net returns of the 10 Foundations and the value added (excess returns) versus their respective policy benchmarks for the 1-, 3-, 5- and 7-year periods ending June 30, 2014. Results for the 1- and 3-year periods were mixed, as half of the Foundations outperformed their benchmarks and half underperformed. For the 5- and 7-year periods, the majority of the Foundations added value. The outperformance is generally attributed to overweights in equities and/or manager investment selection. Two Foundations; however, consistently underperformed their respective policy benchmarks over the longer-term periods.




The next three graphs show risk/return statistics for each of the 10 Foundations over cumulative periods ending June 30, 2014 calculated monthly.

Standard deviation is a statistic used to measure the portfolio's volatility. Most Foundations exhibited lower volatility than the universe median for all periods shown.




Note: Riverside is virtually all invested in daily priced assets which overstate their apparent volatility compared to foundations that are more invested in non-public assets.


Sharpe Ratio is a measure of the excess return per unit of risk. Most Foundations exhibited Sharpe ratios higher than the universe median across the different periods ending June 30, 2014.




Information Ratio: this is a measure of the risk-adjusted return of a portfolio and it is defined as expected active return divided by tracking error, where active return is the excess return and tracking error is the annualized standard deviation. While most Foundations generally posted information ratios higher than the universe median, four Foundations (Irvine, Merced, San Diego and Santa Cruz) consistently posted positive information ratios that were higher than the universe median across all periods evaluated.



The following graph shows the performance trend of the Foundations' excess returns over 1-year rolling periods. After a wider divergence of excess returns displayed during the market turmoil through 2010, the 1-year excess returns of the ten Foundations have narrowed and are now ranging between 2.5% and -4.2%. Diversified investors have enjoyed strong returns over the last 12 months and the Foundations were able to manage volatility relatively well.





The next graph shows the Foundations' excess returns after adjusting for beta, or level of market exposure (relative to each Foundation's individual benchmark). The results are shown in the beta-adjusted excess return rolling 1-year chart below.



Over the last 3 years, a majority of the Foundations applied an average beta exposure below 1 which resulted in higher risk-adjusted returns due to the heightened volatility experienced during this period.



Next, we analyzed the risk levels taken by the Foundations in achieving their returns. The graphs below are snapshots of each Foundation’s risk-return profile for 3- and 5-years calculated on a monthly basis.



\* (Annualized) Risk is defined as the annualized standard deviation calculated using monthly observations. This evaluation may understate the risk measures for non-public assets such as private equity and absolute return strategies for the following reasons: 1.) The assets are not priced daily; 2.) Returns are reported on a lagged basis. 3.) Most of the campus foundations have significant portions of their endowments invested in non-public assets.

The risk-return profile shows strong results for 3 and 5 years driven by the equity rebound since the lows in 2009 and the dive to ultra-low interest rates. We note that most foundations outperformed the median with lower volatility for the 3- and 5-year periods. The Foundations achieved returns ranging from 6.8% to 9.8% and risks that ranged from 6.1% to 9.6% for the 3-year period. Only Santa Barbara (with higher volatility) underperformed the median for the 3-year period. The annualized 5-year returns, which have been well above long-term capital market return expectations, have improved significantly ranging from 11.0% to 12.6% with risks in the range of 6.7% to 9.9%. For the 5-year period Los Angeles underperformed the median but exhibited lower volatility. Santa Barbara also underperformed the median and exhibited higher volatility. For both periods, Riverside exhibited higher volatility than the median but has outperformed the median. Riverside is virtually all invested in daily priced assets which overstate their apparent volatility compared to foundations that are more invested in non-public assets.

In addition, we evaluated the portfolios’ active risk, which measures how closely the portfolio follows a specified benchmark.





The previous graphs reflect the active risks and excess returns of the individual portfolios when compared to their respective benchmarks over 3- and 5-years ending June 30, 2014.

We found that Santa Cruz and Merced both achieved the highest excess return of 2.0% for the 3-year period and 2.1% for the 5-year period, by employing a low active risk for both periods.

Berkeley exhibited the highest tracking error among all of the 10 Foundations but performed close to its benchmark for both periods.

For the 3-year period, half of the Foundations outperformed their respective benchmarks. Davis and Santa Barbara underperformed their respective benchmarks as did Berkeley, Riverside and San Francisco but the latter three underperformed to a lesser degree. All except Berkeley exhibited low to moderate active risks.

For the 5-year period, most of the Foundations outperformed their respective benchmarks. Only Davis and Santa Barbara underperform their respective benchmarks. All except Berkeley exhibited low to moderate active risks.


Note that Santa Barbara started to invest outside the GEP in October 2007 and prior to July 1, 2011, measured their results against its long-term target benchmark which does not reflect the ongoing funding of private equities. In January 2011, Davis implemented a strategy-based investment structure which invests in about 83% in multi-asset strategies.

## 5.2 Policy Compliance

Merced and Santa Cruz are invested 100% in GEP. The other 8 Foundations have implemented their own individual investment policies. As of June 30, 2014, San Diego, Irvine and Davis allocated 69%, 35% and 20% respectively to the GEP. The overview of the Foundations' asset allocation versus the individual benchmark is presented below.


We observed the following benchmark anomaly:

- Riverside uses a secondary blended benchmark (85% MSCI ACWI, 11% Barclays Aggregate and 4% Citigroup 3-Month T-Bill) for its total fund benchmark, which is not reflected in the chart.



Note: Davis actual asset allocation for the Liquidity Portfolio was -3.7% as of 6/30/14.

Berkeley, Davis and UCLA moved to a strategy-based investment structure and implemented multi-asset class portfolios. The actual asset allocation versus benchmark is presented in the chart below.




The following benchmarks are implemented on total fund level:

- Berkeley
  - 82.5% MSCI ACWI with USA Gross (net)
  - 17.5% Barclays Treasury
- Davis
  - 70.0% S&P 500
  - 30.0% Barclays Aggregate
- UCLA
  - 25% Russell 3000
  - 15% Citigroup 3-month Treasury Bill Index X 2
  - 15% Cambridge Associates LLC U.S. Private Equity/Venture Indices (weighted 60% Buyout & 40% Venture)
  - 20% MSCI All Country World Ex-US
  - 5% Citigroup 3-month Treasury Bill Index (Cash)
  - 5% Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index
  - 5% NCREIF Property Index
  - 10% Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (annualized CPI-U) + 6%

During the fiscal year, the following Foundations changed their investment policy statements:

- UC Riverside
  - August 1, 2013 – reflected in the reporting as of September 1, 2013
  - October 10, 2013 – reflected in the reporting as of November 30, 2013
  - February 28, 2014 – reflected in the reporting as of February 28, 2014
  
- UC San Diego
  - December 13, 2013 – reflected in the reporting as of December 31, 2013

The following table reflects the difference between the actual asset allocation as of June 30, 2014, and the target policy allocation defined in the investment policy statement for each Foundation. Any exposure outside the individual policy ranges is shaded, and the heat map on the right side shows by how much.



UC San Francisco's policy has a target of 0% to cash and has no definitive range. The 10% allocation is temporary and came from a gift to the Foundation.

UC Santa Barbara's allocation to Alternative Investments was 1.1% below the minimum target range of 27%.

UC Berkeley's allocation to Global Equities was 0.1% above the maximum target range of 50%.

The exposures outside of the policy ranges are minor and therefore not a concern.

## 5.3 Analysis and Recommendations

---

Mercer Investments as the General Investment Consultant to The Regents of the University of California has been directed by the Committee on Investments to review the Campus Foundations' investment policies to ensure that they are consistent with industry best practices.

In compliance with the Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations, Mercer has reviewed for each Foundation:

- Investment policy and asset allocation relative to its policy
- Performance by asset class and relative to its benchmarks
- Asset allocation target percentages
- Ranges for each asset class
- Policy benchmarks for each asset class and in total
- Investment guidelines for each asset class as applicable

Based on our review of the UC Campus Foundations' investment policies above, Mercer Investments has no issues of concern to address as of June 30, 2014.

Mercer supports the Regents' investment policy for the Campus Foundations. This policy satisfies the Regents' fiduciary responsibilities and allows the Campus Foundations to manage their assets in a manner consistent with industry best practices.

## 6 Appendix

---

The following section contains a summary of the consolidated endowment review.

Performance, assets under management and fee information were provided by State Street Bank which is the University's official "book of record."

Information on assets not included in State Streets performance reporting was provided by The Regents and individual Foundations.

Information about gifts and recurring charges, endowment spending and investment statements is based on the Foundations' specific policies.

6.1	Historical Foundation Investment Performance .....	70
6.2	Historical Benchmark and Active Performance .....	71
6.3	Investment Policies.....	71
6.4	Glossary.....	73

## 6.1 Historical Foundation Investment Performance

<b>Historical Fiscal Year Foundation Investment Performance</b>											
<b>Annual Total Returns - Foundations</b>											
<b>Year</b>	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Merced</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>Regents' GEP</b>
2014	15.2%	19.1%	17.3%	13.7%	19.0%	18.2%	18.1%	15.9%	14.4%	19.0%	19.0%
2013	12.8%	11.7%	11.5%	15.2%	12.0%	11.5%	12.0%	10.9%	10.1%	12.0%	12.0%
2012	-1.0%	-0.4%	0.4%	-1.5%	-0.7%	-2.6%	-0.6%	-0.9%	-3.4%	-0.7%	-0.7%
2011	18.8%	18.7%	19.5%	16.7%	20.2%	21.5%	21.7%	18.3%	20.1%	20.2%	20.2%
2010	11.7%	11.3%	11.0%	11.8%	11.3%	15.8%	12.7%	14.4%	15.4%	11.3%	11.3%
2009	-20.6%	-17.9%	-20.6%	-21.1%	-18.2%	-22.1%	-20.4%	-16.5%	-20.7%	-17.7%	-18.2%
2008	-0.3%	-1.4%	-2.9%	0.1%	-1.5%	2.9%	-1.6%	-7.5%	-9.4%	-1.4%	-1.5%
2007	20.3%	19.7%	18.7%	17.8%	19.8%	21.7%	19.3%	18.2%	20.1%	19.5%	19.8%
2006	14.8%	11.3%	10.8%	12.9%	11.5%	14.2%	11.7%	11.3%	11.5%	11.3%	11.5%
2005	11.3%	10.2%	7.7%	10.2%	10.3%	11.3%	10.2%	10.0%	10.1%	10.3%	10.3%
2004	17.7%	14.4%	15.6%	15.2%	14.7%	18.1%	14.5%	17.2%	14.1%	14.5%	14.7%
2003	3.4%	5.5%	6.5%	4.5%	5.4%	4.1%	5.2%	0.9%	5.6%	5.4%	5.4%
2002	-4.8%	-8.3%	-7.2%	-8.9%		-4.1%	-9.5%	-6.8%	-9.4%	-9.1%	-9.5%
<b>Average Annualized Total Returns - Foundations</b>											
<b>Year</b>	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Merced</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>Regents' GEP</b>
2014	15.2%	19.1%	17.3%	13.7%	19.0%	18.2%	18.1%	15.9%	14.4%	19.0%	19.0%
('13-'14)	14.0%	15.3%	14.4%	14.4%	15.4%	14.8%	15.0%	13.4%	12.2%	15.4%	15.4%
('12-'14)	8.8%	9.8%	9.5%	8.9%	9.8%	8.7%	9.6%	8.4%	6.8%	9.8%	9.8%
('11-'14)	11.2%	12.0%	11.9%	10.8%	12.3%	11.8%	12.5%	10.8%	10.0%	12.3%	12.3%
('10-'14)	11.3%	11.8%	11.7%	11.0%	12.1%	12.6%	12.5%	11.5%	11.0%	12.1%	12.1%
('09-'14)	5.2%	6.2%	5.5%	4.9%	6.4%	5.8%	6.2%	6.3%	5.0%	6.5%	6.4%
('08-'14)	4.4%	5.1%	4.3%	4.2%	5.2%	5.4%	5.1%	4.2%	2.8%	5.3%	5.2%
('07-'14)	6.3%	6.8%	6.0%	5.8%	6.9%	7.3%	6.7%	5.8%	4.8%	7.0%	6.9%
('06-'14)	7.2%	7.3%	6.5%	6.5%	7.4%	8.1%	7.3%	6.4%	5.5%	7.5%	7.4%
('05-'14)	7.6%	7.6%	6.6%	6.9%	7.7%	8.4%	7.6%	6.8%	6.0%	7.7%	7.7%
('04-'14)	8.5%	8.2%	7.4%	7.6%	8.3%	9.2%	8.2%	7.7%	6.7%	8.3%	8.3%
('03-'14)	8.0%	8.0%	7.3%	7.4%	8.1%	8.8%	7.9%	7.1%	6.6%	8.1%	8.1%
('02-'14)	7.0%	6.6%	6.1%	6.0%	7.4%	7.7%	6.5%	6.0%	5.3%	6.7%	6.6%
<b>Cumulative Total Returns - Foundations</b>											
<b># Year</b>	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Merced</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>Regents' GEP</b>
2014	15.2%	19.1%	17.3%	13.7%	19.0%	18.2%	18.1%	15.9%	14.4%	19.0%	19.0%
('13-'14)	30.0%	33.0%	30.8%	31.0%	33.3%	31.7%	32.4%	28.5%	26.0%	33.3%	33.3%
('12-'14)	28.7%	32.4%	31.3%	29.0%	32.4%	28.4%	31.6%	27.4%	21.7%	32.4%	32.4%
('11-'14)	52.9%	57.1%	56.9%	50.6%	59.1%	56.0%	60.1%	50.7%	46.1%	59.1%	59.1%
('10-'14)	70.9%	74.9%	74.1%	68.4%	77.1%	80.6%	80.4%	72.4%	68.7%	77.1%	77.1%
('09-'14)	35.6%	43.6%	38.2%	32.9%	44.9%	40.6%	43.5%	44.0%	33.7%	45.7%	44.9%
('08-'14)	35.2%	41.6%	34.1%	33.0%	42.7%	44.7%	41.3%	33.2%	21.1%	43.7%	42.7%
('07-'14)	62.6%	69.5%	59.2%	56.7%	70.9%	76.1%	68.5%	57.5%	45.3%	71.7%	71.0%
('06-'14)	86.8%	88.8%	76.4%	76.9%	90.7%	101.1%	88.2%	75.3%	62.1%	91.1%	90.8%
('05-'14)	107.9%	108.1%	89.9%	94.9%	110.3%	123.8%	107.4%	92.9%	78.4%	110.8%	110.4%
('04-'14)	144.6%	138.1%	119.5%	124.6%	141.3%	164.3%	137.5%	126.1%	103.6%	141.4%	141.2%
('03-'14)	153.0%	151.2%	133.8%	134.7%	154.3%	175.1%	149.8%	128.2%	115.0%	154.4%	154.2%
('02-'14)	140.8%	130.3%	117.0%	113.8%	154.3%	163.8%	126.1%	112.6%	94.8%	131.2%	130.0%

Returns shown above prior to 2006 were provided by the individual Foundations.

Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank, except in extraordinary circumstances.



## 6.2 Historical Benchmark and Active Performance

Historical Fiscal Year Benchmark and Active Performance											
Annual Total Returns - Benchmarks											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2014	19.4%	18.3%	15.0%	16.0%	16.6%	19.1%	15.8%	16.7%	16.3%	16.6%	16.6%
2013	13.5%	13.9%	9.7%	10.4%	10.1%	11.3%	12.0%	10.3%	11.7%	10.1%	10.1%
2012	-3.3%	6.4%	-0.6%	-1.3%	-2.4%	-2.1%	-1.1%	-0.7%	-2.0%	-2.4%	-2.4%
2011	17.1%	19.5%	19.6%	15.7%	17.9%	22.2%	19.6%	20.7%	22.5%	17.9%	17.9%
2010	10.2%	9.1%	9.2%	10.0%	9.1%	11.5%	10.7%	10.9%	18.3%	9.1%	9.1%
2009	-15.6%	-13.1%	-16.1%	-19.0%	-13.1%	-19.4%	-18.3%	-19.5%	-18.3%	-13.1%	-13.1%
2008	-1.2%	0.1%	-5.0%	-0.8%	0.1%	-4.5%	0.1%	-6.9%	-4.1%	0.1%	0.1%
2007	16.9%	18.0%	19.0%	17.7%	18.0%	20.2%	18.0%	17.7%	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%
2006	12.7%	11.1%	10.3%	10.4%	11.1%	13.6%	11.1%	11.1%	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%
2005	10.3%	9.5%	9.3%	8.7%	9.5%	10.4%	10.3%	10.2%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%
2004	17.1%	14.6%	15.1%	16.2%	14.6%	18.0%	15.2%	17.8%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%
2003	2.8%	5.0%	3.2%	3.0%	5.0%	2.1%	5.2%	3.2%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
2002	-8.1%	-7.7%	-6.6%	-10.5%		-7.8%	-7.7%	-7.3%	-7.7%	-7.7%	-7.7%
Annual Active Returns (Foundation minus Benchmark) <sup>1</sup>											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2014	-4.2%	0.8%	2.4%	-2.3%	2.5%	-0.9%	2.4%	-0.8%	-1.9%	2.5%	2.5%
2013	-0.7%	-2.2%	1.8%	4.7%	1.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	-1.7%	1.8%	1.8%
2012	2.3%	-6.9%	0.9%	-0.2%	1.8%	-0.4%	0.5%	-0.1%	-1.4%	1.8%	1.8%
2011	1.8%	-0.9%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.3%	-0.7%	2.0%	-2.4%	-2.5%	2.3%	2.3%
2010	1.6%	2.3%	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	4.3%	2.0%	3.5%	-2.9%	2.3%	2.3%
2009	-5.1%	-4.9%	-4.6%	-2.0%	-5.1%	-2.8%	-2.1%	3.0%	-2.4%	-4.7%	-5.1%
2008	0.9%	-1.4%	2.0%	0.8%	-1.5%	7.3%	-1.6%	-0.6%	-5.4%	-1.4%	-1.5%
2007	3.4%	1.7%	-0.3%	0.1%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	0.5%	2.0%	1.5%	1.8%
2006	2.2%	0.3%	0.5%	2.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%
2005	1.0%	0.8%	-1.6%	1.5%	0.9%	0.9%	-0.1%	-0.1%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%
2004	0.6%	-0.2%	0.5%	-1.0%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.7%	-0.6%	-0.5%	-0.1%	0.1%
2003	0.6%	0.5%	3.3%	1.5%	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	-2.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
2002	3.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	1.6%		3.7%	-1.8%	0.5%	-1.7%	-1.4%	-1.8%
Average Annualized Active Returns (Foundation minus Benchmark) <sup>2</sup>											
# Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2014	-4.2%	0.8%	2.4%	-2.3%	2.5%	-0.9%	2.4%	-0.8%	-1.9%	2.5%	2.5%
('13-'14)	-2.4%	-0.7%	2.1%	1.3%	2.2%	-0.4%	1.1%	-0.1%	-1.8%	2.2%	2.2%
('12-'14)	-0.7%	-3.0%	1.7%	0.7%	2.0%	-0.4%	0.9%	-0.1%	-1.6%	2.0%	2.0%
('11-'14)	-0.1%	-2.5%	1.3%	0.8%	2.1%	-0.5%	1.2%	-0.6%	-1.8%	2.1%	2.1%
('10-'14)	0.2%	-1.5%	1.4%	1.0%	2.1%	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%	-2.0%	2.1%	2.1%
('09-'14)	-0.9%	-2.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%	-0.2%	0.6%	0.8%	-2.1%	0.7%	0.6%
('08-'14)	-0.6%	-2.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%	0.6%	-2.6%	0.4%	0.3%
('07-'14)	-0.2%	-1.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	1.0%	0.4%	0.6%	-2.1%	0.5%	0.5%
('06-'14)	0.1%	-1.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	0.5%	-1.9%	0.5%	0.5%
('05-'14)	0.2%	-1.2%	0.1%	0.7%	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.5%	-1.6%	0.5%	0.5%
('04-'14)	0.2%	-1.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.4%	-1.5%	0.5%	0.5%
('03-'14)	0.2%	-1.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%	0.1%	-1.3%	0.5%	0.5%
('02-'14)	0.5%	-1.0%	0.4%	0.7%	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.2%	-1.4%	0.3%	0.2%

Returns shown above prior to 2006 were provided by the individual Foundations.

Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank, except in extraordinary circumstances.

1) Arithmetic difference

2) Annualized geometric difference

## 6.3 Investment Policies

Campus	Asset Class	Benchmark Component	Percentage	
UC Berkeley	Global Equity	MSCI ACWI with USA Gross (net)	82.5%	
	Fixed Income	Barclays Treasury	17.5%	
UC Davis	U.S. Equity	S&P 500	70.0%	
	Fixed Income	Barclays Aggregate	30.0%	
UC Irvine	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	22.0%	
	Non U.S. Equity	MSCI AC World ex U.S. (Net)	22.0%	
	US Fixed Income	Barclays Aggregate	15.0%	
	Private Equity	UCIF-Private Equities (Russell 3000 + 3%)	8.0%	
	Hedge Funds	HFRF Fund of Funds Index	20.0%	
	Commodities	Bloomberg Commodity Index TR	5.0%	
	Real Estate	NCREIF Property Index	8.0%	
UC Los Angeles	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	25.0%	
	Non-U.S. and Global Equity	MSCI AC World ex U.S. (Net)	20.0%	
	Credit	BofA ML U.S. High Yield Master II Index	5.0%	
	Multi-Strategy	Citigroup 3-Month T-Bill X2	15.0%	
	Private Equity	Cambridge PE/VC Index	15.0%	
	Real Assets	CPI-All Urban + 6%	10.0%	
	Real Estate	NCREIF Property Index	5.0%	
	Cash & Equivalents	Citigroup 3-Month T-Bill	5.0%	
	GEP *	GEP Benchmark	100.0%	
UC Riverside	Global Equity	MSCI AC World	85.0%	
	Fixed Income	Barclays Aggregate	11.0%	
	Cash	Citigroup 3-Month T-Bill	4.0%	
UC San Diego	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	16.0%	
	Non-U.S. Equity	MSCI ACWI World ex U.S. (Net)	20.0%	
	Global Equity	MSCI AC World Index	6.0%	
	Fixed Income	Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index	12.0%	
	Private Equity	S&P 500 + 5%	8.0%	
	Absolute Return	HFRX Global Hedge Fund Index	19.0%	
	Real Estate	NCREIF Property Index	8.0%	
	Other	CPI+5%	11.0%	
	UC San Francisco	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	22.5%
Non-U.S. Equities		MSCI All Country World ex U.S. (Net)	22.5%	
Fixed Income		Custom Benchmark	15.0%	
Private Equity		S&P 500 + 7%	7.5%	
Hedge Funds		HFRF Fund Weighted Composite	20.0%	
Hard Assets		Bloomberg Commodity Index TR	6.3%	
Real Estate		Real Estate Benchmark (NCREIF Property Index)	6.3%	
UC Santa Barbara**		U.S. Equity	S&P 500	24.0%
	Non-U.S. Equity Developed	MSCI EAFE (Net)	24.0%	
	Emerging Market Equity	MSCI Emerging Market (Net)	7.0%	
	Fixed Income	Barclays Aggregate	15.0%	
		BoA/ML US High Yield B-BB Constrained	2.0%	
	Absolute Return	HFRF FoF Diversified	17.0%	
	Private Equity	UCSB - Private Equity/Venture Capital	6.0%	
	Real Estate	FTSE NAREIT US Real Estate Index	2.0%	
	Energy/Commodities/Other	Goldman Sachs Commodities Index	2.0%	
	Cash & Equivalents	30-Day US Treasury Bill	1.0%	
UC Santa Cruz	GEP *	GEP Benchmark	100.0%	
	* GEP	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000 TF Index	15.5%
		Non-U.S. Equity Developed	MSCI EAFE TF + Canada (Net)	11.5%
		<i>Note: As directed by UC, State Street Bank has been using the EAFE Tobacco Free (TF) + Canada (Net) benchmark to model the performance of the MSCI World ex-US (Net) Tobacco Free Index (as shown in the GEP IPS) for the non-US equity developed asset class since 2008.</i>		
		Emerging Market Equity	MSCI Emerging Market (Net)	6.0%
		Opportunistic Equity	MSCI All Country World Index (Net)	8.0%
		U.S. Core Fixed Income	Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index	5.0%
		High Yield Debt	Merrill Lynch High Yield Cash Pay Index	2.5%
		Emerging Market Debt	J.P. Morgan EM Bond Index Global Diversified (US)	3.0%
		TIPS	Barclays U.S. TIPS Index	2.5%
		Private Equity	Actual PE Returns	9.0%
		Absolute Return-Diversified	50% HFRX Absolute Return Index + 50% HFRX Market Directional Index	23.5%
		Cross Asset Class	Aggregate GEP Policy Benchmark	4.0%
		Real Assets	Commodities: S&P GSCI Reduced Energy Index	2.8%
			All Other: Actual Portfolio Return	
		Real Estate (Public and Private)	Public: FTSE EPRA NAREIT Global Index and Private: NCREIF Funds Index-Open End Diversified Core Equity Index (lagged 3 months)	6.7%

## 6.4 Glossary

---

### CURRENT ASSETS

Assets for use in the near term to support the overall operations of the Foundation, where the donor may, or may not, have restricted their use. These assets typically include cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, deferred charges, amounts due, prepaid expenses, etc.

In accordance with the Support Group Policy, endowed gifts or restricted assets must be transferred to the University to be spent in accordance with the donors' terms. However, the existing policy does not specify the timing and/or frequency of transfers. Consequently, the timing/frequency decision varies among the Foundations.

### ENDOWMENT ASSETS

True endowments, established by donor-restricted gifts to provide a permanent source of income, and Funds Functioning as Endowments (FEEs), established by donor-restricted gifts to provide income but principal may also be expended.

### GENERAL ENDOWMENT POOL (GEP)

Established in 1933, and unitized in 1958, the GEP is The Regents' primary investment vehicle for endowed gift funds. The GEP is comprised of over 4,909 individual endowments that support the University's mission. The GEP is a balanced portfolio of equities, fixed-income securities, and alternative investments in which all endowment funds participate, unless payout needs require otherwise.

### NON-ENDOWED ASSETS

Current gift and trust/life income assets, excluding pledges.

### OTHER ENDOWED ASSETS

Separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, operational accounts, account receivables, and others.

### SHORT TERM INVESTMENT POOL (STIP)

The STIP is a cash investment pool established in fiscal 1976 by The Regents, in which all University fund groups participate, including retirement and endowment funds as well as campus endowment funds. Cash to meet payrolls, operating expenses, and construction funds of all the campuses and teaching hospitals of the University are the major funds invested in the STIP until expended. Pension, endowment, and defined contribution funds awaiting permanent investment are also invested in the STIP until transferred. The STIP participants are able to maximize returns on their short-term cash balances by taking advantage of the economies of scale of investing in a large cash pool.

### TRUSTS/LIFE ANNUITIES

Assets donated by individuals or organizations, with the institution agreeing to pay a specific level of income to the donor, or designated beneficiary, for his or her lifetime. Subsequent to the beneficiary's death, the institution gains complete ownership of the donated assets. The donor may or may not have restricted the assets' purpose.

© 2014 Mercer LLC. All rights reserved.

This contains confidential and proprietary information of Mercer and is intended for the exclusive use of the parties to whom it was provided by Mercer. Its content may not be modified, sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity without Mercer's prior written permission.

The findings, ratings and/or opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of Mercer and are subject to change without notice. They are not intended to convey any guarantees as to the future performance of the investment products, asset classes or capital markets discussed. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Mercer's ratings do not constitute individualized investment advice.

This does not contain investment advice relating to your particular circumstances. No investment decision should be made based on this information without first obtaining appropriate professional advice and considering your circumstances.

Information contained herein has been obtained from a range of third party sources. While the information is believed to be reliable, Mercer has not sought to verify it independently. As such, Mercer makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented and takes no responsibility or liability (including for indirect, consequential, or incidental damages) for any error, omission or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party.

Investment advisory services provided by Mercer Investment Consulting, Inc.