# Administrative Data Reforms
for LGBTQ+ Representation

Based on confidential survey data, **LGBTQ+ students** likely make up **26% of UC undergraduates** and **25% of UC graduate students**

Confidential survey data shows greater LGBTQ+ representation as compared to enrollment data, a main data source of UC reporting

Legend: UCB, UCD, UCI, UCLA, UCM, UCR, UCSD, UCSF, UCSB, UCSC

Bar chart categories: Undergraduate survey, Undergraduate enrollment, Graduate survey, Graduate enrollment (y-axis 0 to 40)

Most of the undergraduate and graduate students who indicated they were bisexual or nonbinary are **not** recorded as such in enrollment data

Some possible explanations for the data discrepancy offered by content experts

Fear of discrimination on application

Parental involvement in applications

Inadequate answer options on the application

Identities develop over time with exploration

Data suggest **few campuses update systemwide SOGI data on a regular basis;** for example, **only UCSB and UCSC show changes in sexual orientation** for possible cohort updates

Number of updates to SOGI data sent by campuses (18 possible) — y-axis 0 to 20

Campus	Sexual orientation	Gender identity
UCB	4	1
UCD		3
UCI	4	1
UCLA	4	1
UCM	9	2
UCR	1	
UCSD		
UCSB	18	2
UCSC	18	13

UCOP IRAP would like to work with campus offices (institutional research, LGBTQ+, registrar, etc.) to ensure students can update SOGI data and these changes are sent to UCOP as well