

The Regents of the University of California

COMMITTEE ON COMPLIANCE AND AUDIT

July 16, 2008

The Committee on Compliance and Audit met on the above date at University Center, Santa Barbara Campus.

Members Present: Regents Blum, Cole, De La Peña, Reiss, Ruiz, and Scorza, Advisory member Croughan, Expert Financial Advisor Vining

In attendance: Regents Gould, Schilling, and Wachter, Regents-designate Bernal, Nunn Gorman, and Stovitz, Secretary and Chief of Staff Griffiths, Associate Secretary Shaw, General Counsel Robinson, Chief Compliance and Audit Officer Vacca, Provost Hume, Executive Vice President Lapp, Vice Presidents Beckwith, Dooley, and Sakaki, Chancellors Birgeneau, Block, and Vanderhoef, and Recording Secretary Lopes

The meeting convened at 3:40 p.m. with Committee Chair Ruiz presiding.

1. **RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ETHICS AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAM**

Committee Chair Ruiz recommended that, following a presentation in the Committee regarding the proposed Ethics and Compliance program by Senior Vice President Vacca, the Regents approve the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Regents of the University of California made the decision in May 2006 to establish a university-wide program of corporate compliance and established the new position of Senior Vice President – Chief Compliance and Audit Officer, an officer of the corporation, by amending the Bylaws and Standing Orders accordingly; and

WHEREAS, the President strongly endorses and recommends that the University of California have a robust ethics and compliance program, and

WHEREAS, the Senior Vice President – Chief Compliance and Audit Officer assumed the position in October 2007 and has developed the proposed program and structure for an effective Ethics and Compliance Program for the University; and

WHEREAS, voluntary adoption of such a program is considered a best practice that will serve to enhance the public trust and meet expectations of the Regents and external stakeholders by demonstrating the Regents' commitment to good stewardship of federal, state and private resources; and

WHEREAS, the proposed program, in consultation with the campuses, includes a reasonable timeline for development of all requisite elements of an effective Ethics and Compliance program including:

1. Written standards of conduct as well as appropriate policies and procedures;
2. Oversight by the Regents' Committee on Compliance and Audit and the Senior Vice President – Compliance and Audit with the primary responsibility for the campus ethics and compliance activities assumed by the Chancellors and delegated to the Campus Compliance Officer, as appropriate. Advice on compliance matters and risk mitigation activities will be provided from the Campus Compliance Risk Committee and UC Ethics and Compliance Risk Council;
3. Development and implementation of regular, effective education and training programs, as well as mandated education such as sexual harassment prevention, conflicts of interest, ethics and compliance, and other areas of concern;
4. Effective communications and processes maintained for reports of potential and/or perceived compliance matters or improper governmental activities with timely responses which provide the ability for a complainant to remain anonymous and free from retaliation;
5. Development and maintenance of compliance systems and controls that can be objectively assessed, monitored, and audited for effectiveness;
6. Assurance that management is enforcing appropriate disciplinary action for those who have violated University policies, procedures, or applicable legal requirements; and
7. Assurance that management is taking appropriate corrective action and remedial measures when problems are identified to resolve and prevent reoccurrence of those problems; and

WHEREAS, the Senior Vice President–Chief Compliance and Audit Officer and the Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services are primarily responsible to assure that campus responsibilities are executed related to ethics and compliance matters and to assess and monitor that campus compliance systems and controls are effective, and

WHEREAS, the proposed program committee infrastructure includes a broad cross-section of individuals from all University locations and specific risk areas; and

WHEREAS, performance metrics will be developed to assess and evaluate identification of risks and the performance of related compliance systems to ensure rules, regulations, Regental and UC policies and other compliance requirements are met,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Regents of the University of California do approve and adopt the UC Ethics and Compliance Program and structure shown in Attachment I.

[Background material was mailed to Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff.]

Committee Chair Ruiz reminded the Committee that in 2006, the Regents recommended a University-wide ethics and compliance program. In October of 2007, Sheryl Vacca assumed the position of Senior Vice President–Chief Compliance and Audit Officer.

Ms. Vacca noted that an ethics and compliance program is good business practice. The University will be setting the industry benchmark while enhancing public trust and preventing compliance risks. Federal sentencing guidelines, consistent with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, set the minimum requirements applicable to the University as a public institution. The guidelines include involvement and oversight of the governing board, executive leadership and management in the compliance function, clear standards of conduct and compliance policies and procedures, appropriate education, training, and communication, as well as monitoring, auditing and reporting of non-compliance and corrective or remedial actions, and prevention of further non-compliance.

The Ethics and Compliance Program will be working with the campuses to resolve complex issues, mitigate risk, and ensure understanding of the process. Compliance activities are already in place on each campus, including conflict of interest control points for effective detection and management of conflicts, training for research administrators, and an online staff certification program. To effectively communicate and identify trends and risks across the system, a consolidated framework and program metrics are being developed. The mission is to enhance the University's ability to perform its public responsibilities in an ethics and compliance-based environment while maintaining the public trust.

A systemwide council, chaired by President Yudof, will be formed with a representative from each campus and will report to the Regents. The goal date for full implementation of the UC Ethics and Compliance Program is January 2009.

Faculty Representative Croughan praised the program's design and recommended use of titles rather than names for the Resolution structure. Committee Chair Ruiz confirmed that this amendment would be made to the recommendation.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved Committee Chair Ruiz's recommendation as amended and voted to present it to the Board.

The meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m.

Attest:

Secretary and Chief of Staff

University of California



Ethics and Compliance Program Plan

INTRODUCTION

The University of California (UC) Board of Regents launched an initiative in October, 2007 to create and maintain a comprehensive Ethics and Compliance Program for UC. The voluntary implementation of an ethics and compliance program provides a foundation for UC to proactively demonstrate its adherence to its mission, as well as its commitment to ensure good stewardship of federal, state and private resources.

MISSION

The UC Ethics and Compliance Program ("Program") enhances the University's duty to perform its public responsibilities in an ethics and compliance-based environment where applicable legal, regulatory, Regental and UC policy and other compliance requirements are followed and in which the public trust is maintained.

FUNCTIONS

The UC Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services provides direction, guidance and resource references to each UC location on how to optimize ethical and compliant behavior through an effective Program. Additionally, it provides relevant, timely, independent and objective assurances and advisory services to the UC community, including campus and the Office of the President senior leadership and the Board of Regents.

ROLE of the BOARD of REGENTS

An effective and robust Program adds valuable support to UC's mission of teaching, research, and public service excellence, and ensures that the public trust is maintained. However, to maximize the value and effectiveness of the Program, it is critical that senior leadership at each University location and the Board of Regents become active participants in executing the Program and the continued strengthening and enrichment of the Program.

Effectiveness of an ethics and compliance program is dependent upon the "tone at the top". Board engagement in the development and oversight of the Program sends an unequivocal message that UC is serious about doing the right thing and protecting the interests of the students, faculty, and public. The Regents, in collaboration with the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer, sets the tone from the highest governing level of the organization and creates the momentum to drive the Program forward.

Generally, board members have three primary fiduciary responsibilities to the organizations of which they are members. These duties are: duty of care, duty of loyalty, and duty of obedience to purpose. Under cases such as *In re Caremark International Inc. Derivative Litigation* (1996) 698 A.2d 959 and *Stone v. Ritter* (2006) 911A.2d 362, the courts have established that board members of an organization must oversee the activities of their organization's ethics and compliance program. In particular, the board members must assure that an effective program exists, that reporting systems are adequate to bring material compliance information to their attention in a timely manner, and that the program has the resources needed to be effective. Therefore, the Board's engagement, as well as understanding of high-level compliance risk areas and applicable action taken to prevent, detect and remediate those risks, is critical for the success and growth of the Program.

The SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer is an Officer of The Regents and reports to The Regents through the *Committee on Compliance and Audit*. A key element of the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer role is to assure The Regents that compliance controls in high risk compliance areas of UC operations and mechanisms to support UC's strategic goals are in place. The Regents would look to this role to: assist with education on compliance risks; report on performance metrics of the Program; assess high priority risks to UC; and assess and evaluate management's response to mitigating high priority risks. Furthermore, the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer reports directly to the President and the Board of Regents. As such, the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer not only has the reporting relationship needed to ensure The Regents are regularly updated on the efforts of the Program, but also the ability to address significant ethics and

compliance issues directly with the Board. This direct line of access ensures that the Board will meet its duty of care obligation and provides an open line of communication that instills public confidence and trust that UC is committed to ethics and compliance at the highest levels.

ETHICS & COMPLIANCE PROGRAM PLAN

The Program has been designed to promote adherence to standards of conduct and to ensure compliance with legal, regulatory, Regental and UC policies that govern all aspects of UC operations *including but not limited to* the following:

1. Assisting the campuses in the development of policies, procedures and internal controls that help to reduce compliance risks in all aspects of UC operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Conduct of the “agents” of UC related to our business and in carrying out UC’s mission
 - b. Health Sciences, i.e.: reimbursement, quality of care, program initiatives and consistencies in operations and care standards, vendor relations, etc.
 - c. Research Compliance, i.e.: human subjects, animal care, IRB matters, administration, extramural funds accounting, contracts and grants, conflict of interest/commitment, time and effort reporting, etc.
 - d. Student Financial Aid Services, i.e.: vendor relationships, accounting and management, etc.
 - e. Human Resources, i.e.: EEO and affirmative action, immigration and employment eligibility, labor relations, FMLA, ADA, executive compensation and benefits, etc.
 - f. Financial areas, i.e.: appropriate allocation of monies, investment compliance, travel and expenses, payroll, etc.
 - g. Records retention and disposition
 - h. Information Technology, Privacy and Information Security, i.e.: protection of health information, protection of financial information, security-physical, technical and administrative, etc.
 - i. Intellectual Property, i.e.: licensing, export control, copyright, etc.
 - j. Environmental Health & Safety, i.e.: radiation safety, biosafety, chemical safety, security, hazardous waste management, air and water permits, etc.
2. Establishment of communication methodologies to effectively disseminate compliance policies to administrative and academic employees;

3. Development and implementation of a comprehensive reporting and compliance tracking mechanism for academic and administrative employees to report suspected violations of UC policies or regulatory obligations without fear of reprisal and which ensures the prompt investigation of all appropriate reports of alleged violations;
4. Development and implementation, with consideration of campus culture, of training programs, including mandatory training, utilizing the most appropriate methodologies to reach all constituent audiences to ensure that UC policies are clearly understood and faculty and staff are able to carry them out effectively;
5. Ensuring the development and implementation of ongoing audit and monitoring activities that span the scope of UC functions in an effort to assess the effectiveness of internal controls and monitor compliance with applicable UC policies and applicable standards of practice and regulatory obligations; and
6. Development and implementation of an effective system to reinforce individual accountability and responsibility for ensuring compliance to UC policies and/or regulatory obligations by the administration of equitable disciplinary actions commensurate with the severity of the infraction.

STANDARDS OF ETHICAL CONDUCT

In May 2005, The Regents adopted a Statement of Ethical Values and Standards of Ethical Conduct applicable to all UC operations. The University of California also has codes of conduct which apply to specific constituents, i.e.: faculty, health sciences, staff, and students which guide them in carrying out daily activities within appropriate ethical and legal standards. These codes, the Program and related policies and procedures codify UC's commitment to compliance with legal, regulatory, Regental Policies, UC Policies and other compliance requirements.

COMPLIANCE COMMUNICATION STRUCTURE

Communication will flow from key compliance risk areas within the campuses (13) (campuses (10), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (1), UCOP (1) and ANR (1)) to the diverse and comprehensive Campus Ethics and Compliance Risk Committees ("Committees"), comprised of senior leadership responsible for the compliance efforts across the campuses and the health science areas, as well as Academic Senate representation. Each Committee will be co-chaired by the Executive Vice Chancellor/Provost of the campus

and the designated Campus Ethics and Compliance Officer (“CECO”). Each Committee will assure that high risk compliance priorities for the campus are addressed and will provide quarterly and annual communications to the UC Compliance Risk Council related to their campus compliance activities.

A University-wide Ethics and Compliance Risk Council (“Council”) will be comprised of campus leadership representatives, as well as university-wide leadership and faculty representatives. Communication to and from the Committees and Council will be facilitated through the CECO and the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer. The Council will be co-chaired by the UC President and the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer.

The SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer will provide communication, metrics reports and updates to The Regents through the Board’s Compliance and Audit Committee, unless it is determined that the full Board is required for a communication or report.

UC ETHICS & COMPLIANCE RISK COUNCIL-- CHARTER

The Council will be co-chaired by the UC President and the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer and will include representatives from campus senior leadership, Office of the President leadership, and the Academic Senate. The Council will provide oversight and advisory services to the UC system on the Program and compliance risk areas. The Council will be charged with the following, including but not limited to:

- Providing oversight for and advice relating to the UC-wide implementation and ongoing process of the Program;
- Sharing campus information and tools for system-wide use in identifying and mitigating high risk compliance areas in the system;
- Monitoring the compliance environment as it relates to the UC enterprise performance metrics and making recommendations on compliance policies and best practices to be implemented at the system-wide level; and,
- Facilitating submission of campus quarterly and annual reports to the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer for inclusion in quarterly and annual compliance reports to The Regents.

CAMPUS ETHICS & COMPLIANCE RISK COMMITTEE-- CHARTER

The Committee will provide Program oversight to the campus (including lab and health science) and will be advisory to the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer through the Council. The Committee will comprise senior campus leadership responsible for various areas of campus compliance risks, academic leadership and one or more members of the UC Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services. The Committee will be co-chaired by the Executive Vice Chancellor/Provost and the CECO. The Committee will be charged with the following, including but not limited to:

- Responsibility and support for overall Program including implementation, performance metrics and ongoing processes of the Program;
- Developing risk assessment tools for campus use in identifying and mitigating high risk compliance areas;
- Advising on the need for campus-specific guidance documents, education materials, and training courses, monitoring the compliance environment as it relates to specific risk areas and recommending compliance policies and best practices for system wide implementation; and,
- Reporting compliance risk areas of high priority and proposed risk mitigation activities to the Council, both on an ad hoc basis, and through formal quarterly and annual campus compliance reports.

CAMPUS ETHICS & COMPLIANCE OFFICER--ROLE DESCRIPTION

The CECO will be at the level of Vice Chancellor or above and will provide facilitation/leadership to the campus community on communication of compliance risks and, where appropriate, advice and counsel to the Chancellor and senior management on matters of compliance and advice on ethical standards of practice. Reporting to the Chancellor and to the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer (with dotted line reporting authority if the role is assumed by an existing position with other primary responsibilities), the CECO will have independent authority and autonomy necessary to objectively provide a review and evaluation of compliance

issues within all levels and in all subdivisions, subsidiaries and holdings of the campus. The CECO will be a role model and champion for ethical and compliant conduct throughout the UC community. Specific duties of the CECO include but are not limited to:

- Advising the Chancellor, and the UC Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services on the development, dissemination and implementation of an appropriate compliance infrastructure with performance metrics that are designed to detect and prevent non-compliant or unethical conduct throughout the campus,
- Co-chairing, with the EVC/Provost, the Committee designed to provide oversight, assistance and direction to the CECO on the operation of and communication around the campus-wide Program; and,
- Serving as a campus representative at the Council meetings (or the EVC may serve in this role), and coordinating ethics and compliance activities and Program initiatives with the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer.

AUDITING AND MONITORING

The auditing function and certain monitoring activities of the Program will be conducted by the UC Internal Auditors at each of the respective campuses. The University Auditor, in conjunction with the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer will lead an annual risk assessment for compliance and internal audit and determine the high risk priorities for the audit and compliance auditing and monitoring plan from a system-wide perspective. Each campus will also provide its individual campus risk areas to be audited on the overall plan.

Compliance will assist in determining several university-wide audits which will be conducted each year based on high priority compliance risks identified through the risk assessment process and through further vetting with the Committees, Council and The Regents.

Monitoring will be done primarily through the management functions of each UC location and will be tracked by the respective Committees and Council. Over time, as the compliance monitoring activities carried out by management mature and become more robust, the role of the UC Internal Auditors will shift from one of auditing and monitoring to assess UC's state of compliance, to auditing the effective execution of the compliance activities within functional areas. However, as new high risk compliance areas are identified, Internal Audit and Compliance will continue to work together in a fashion to assure the risks are being mitigated appropriately through either auditing and/or monitoring.

INVESTIGATIONS

Assuring effective stewardship of UC's resources by guarding against misuse and/or waste of federal, state and other sources of funds is a priority shared by the Board of Regents, faculty, administrative management and staff of the UC system, as well as the citizens of California. The UC Whistleblower Hotline ("Hotline") allows interested parties to alert, confidentially and anonymously, the Program to instances where UC funds may have been misapplied or misused, as well as report alleged instances of potential and/or actual non-compliance with UC policies and procedures that have been developed to ensure compliance with applicable regulatory, Regental and UC policy and other appropriate compliance requirements.

The investigations function is responsible for coordination, tracking, investigating (where applicable) and managing complaints of suspected improper governmental activity made under the UC Whistleblower Policy and the Program. This process is carried out through a comprehensive program at all UC locations to ensure compliance with federal and state whistleblower laws and to provide a communication mechanism for all constituents within the UC environment to report real and/or potential non-compliant behavior. Information of suspected improper governmental activity and real and/or potential compliance matters are received through a variety of reporting channels to include an independently operated anonymous hotline service. All reports are investigated as appropriate and through the Program are coordinated with the Office of General Counsel to ensure that there is no duplication of effort and investigative services are optimized. Additionally, advice from leaders in risk management, areas of specialty law and human resources, or other specialty areas, are provided, as appropriate.

The Program will continue to review existing whistleblower training, informational and educational programs as well as provide training as a means to provide assurance that the UC Whistleblower Policy and the Policy for the Protection of Whistleblowers from Retaliation is understood, system-wide. The investigations function will conduct system-wide investigations in circumstances where the investigation process requires independence and objectivity both in fact and appearance. All substantiated reports and subsequent resolution data will be tracked, aggregated and trended to enhance system-wide process improvement activities.

RESPONSE AND PREVENTION

The response and prevention function of the Program will be managed in a distributed and collaborative framework. Working within the communication structure of the Program, non-compliant events and trends will be analyzed by the Committees and reported to the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer (within or outside formal Council meetings, as appropriate). Response to non-compliance will be the responsibility of the campus Chancellor and managed at the campus level in consultation with the UC Ethics and Compliance Program leadership. All actions in response to non-compliance will follow UC policy.

The SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer (and other designees as appropriate) will work with the Committees, Council, and other appropriate UC leadership, including Academic Senate leadership, to analyze non-compliant trends from a system-wide perspective and to recommend revisions to policy, as needed, to provide consistent responses to specific violations.

Prevention of non-compliance will be the responsibility of the Chancellor and addressed directly at the campus level, with assistance from the Program, through efforts and resources committed to enhance education/training and monitoring/auditing functions. Prevention of non-compliance or reoccurrence of non-compliance on a system-wide basis will also be addressed through targeted training and auditing efforts generated from the advice of the Committees, Council and the Program leadership.

ANNUAL EVALUATION

The United States Federal Sentencing Guidelines ("FSG") were revised in November 2004 to include a "periodic measurement of program effectiveness" among the criteria for an effective ethics and compliance program (U.S.S.G. §8B2.1 (b) (5) (B)) and to "assess their risk" in an effort to identify operational gaps that might put the organization at greater compliance risk and to then develop and implement processes to remediate that risk. One of the goals of an effective compliance program is to effectuate the change needed to improve operational processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements. The change or process improvement effort should include an evaluation element in order to determine the effectiveness of the change that was made in an effort to re-focus future activities and distribute limited resources in the most efficacious manner.

Annually, the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer are responsible for developing a summary report of Program activities to report to the *Committee on Compliance and Audit* of the Board of Regents. That report will include the measurement of the system-wide office and the individual campuses to pre-established performance metrics and outline key observations and recommendations for ongoing Program improvement. The metrics used to measure the Program will be consistent with those typically used by the compliance industry. The compliance industry often measures program effectiveness by assessing a compliance program's integration of each of the seven elements of an effective compliance program, and may include the following analyses: 1) conducting an employee survey to gauge the employees' understanding of how compliance is integrated into their daily job functions and their ability to identify potential compliance issues and to respond according to policy; 2) summarizing the numbers, categories and attendance rates at mandatory compliance education offerings; 3) identifying trends in investigation and audit/monitoring activities and whether or not performance improvement activities occurred to mitigate the identified risks; 4) measuring the effectiveness of compliance program structures, such as local and system-level compliance committees through an analysis of outcomes against pre-established performance/measurement criteria; and, 5) developing or revising policies and procedures to address identified compliance risks.

SUMMARY

The Program Plan is provided as a high level summary of the Program's purpose and mission, roles and responsibilities of the Board, campus leadership and respective Committees and structure and elements of the Program. Each of the elements will have further detail developed as the Program is implemented across UC and in Program policies and procedures. Success of the Program is dependent on the Regents participation in oversight of the Program, accountability and ownership of UC's leadership at each of the UC locations and the ability of the UC system to provide the necessary direction, resources, references and guidance as needed for developing and sustaining an effective UC Ethics and Compliance Program.