

Agenda

- Types of investigations
- Purpose of the written report
- Structure of the report
- Characteristics of a good report
- Producing and communicating the report

What Types of Investigations

- Employee complaints, including
 - Discrimination
 - Sexual Harassment
 - Retaliation
- Suspected IGA
 - Policy/Law violations
 - Health or safety threats
- Research integrity concerns
- Audit matters



Purpose of the Written Report

- To evidence a timely and impartial institutional response
- To accurately document the investigation conducted
- To provide decision-maker with facts needed to decide the matter
- To ensure a successful investigation
- To best defend the investigation

"Protected Disclosure"



Protected Disclosure means any good faith communication that discloses

- suspected improper governmental activity (IGA), or
- any significant threat to public/employee health or safety

"Improper Governmental Activity" (IGA)

Any activity undertaken by the University or a University employee performing official University duties that

- (1) Is in violation of any State or federal law or regulation including, but not limited to corruption, malfeasance, bribery, theft or misuse of University property or facilities, fraud, coercion, or conversion . . . , or
- (2) Is economically wasteful, or involves gross misconduct, gross incompetence, or gross inefficiency

Burdens of Proof



Complainant -- "more likely than not" that:

- Complainant engaged in Protected Activity (PA)
- Complainant experienced some adverse employment action (AA)
- PA a "contributing factor" in the AA

Respondent -- "clear and convincing" evidence that:

- Respondent had "independent justification" for the adverse employment action, or
- Reasonable belief action was justified

Organizing and Writing the Report

- What are the essential components?
- How should the sections be structured?
- What should be covered in each section?



The Critical Elements

- The factual issue
- The relevant policy
- The evidence
- The analysis
- The conclusion



Basic Report Structure

The Beginning

- Executive
 Summary
- Complaint Summary
- Investigation
 Summary
- Conclusion
 Summary

The Middle

- Factual Background
- Scope of the Investigation
- Evidence
 Considered
- Analysis of the Evidence

The End

- Findings of Fact
- Conclusion(s)
- Sign & Date
- Exhibits

Executive Summary

- Brief complaint description
- Policy/rule at issue
- Investigative mandate
- Ultimate conclusion reached



Allegations Analysis

Review initial allegations/ complaint

 What are the policy requirements you will have to address?

 Create a report outline on Day 1 of the Investigation

Scope of the Investigation

- What was the matter at issue?
- What was the scope of review?
- What interviews were conducted?
- What other evidence was considered?
- What investigative process matters should be noted?

Consider Scope Creep

- What are the initial allegations?
- Did additional allegations came to light during the investigation?
- If so, how should such allegations be addressed?
 - Referred to a separate investigation?
 - Included as part of the subject report?

Factual Background

- Departments or units involved
- Relevant activities of the unit
- Employees involved and employee relationships
- Background facts to the subject allegation or incident
- Factual issues to be resolved

Analysis of the Evidence

- Acknowledge the positions of each party
- Describe the evidence in support of each position
- Weigh the facts
- Identify the relevant facts
- Dismiss the irrelevant facts
- As to disputed facts, assess credibility

Assess Credibility

- Factors to consider
 - Inherent plausibility
 - Timeline of events
 - Corroborating evidence
 - Actual knowledge
 - Objective factors
- Avoid behavioral interpretations

Reach Your Conclusions

- Re-state the policy standard for reaching a conclusion, generally
 - "substantial" evidence in IGA investigations
 - burden of proof in a workplace complaint as applied by the investigator
- Set out the Findings of Fact that are needed to reach each required conclusion
- State the Conclusion(s) reached with reference to the applicable policy standard

Types of Conclusions

- Sustained: an allegation is sustained when an investigation reveals that the evidence satisfies the burden of proof in support of the allegation.
- Not Sustained: an allegation is not sustained when an investigation reveals that the evidence does not satisfy the required burden of proof.
- "Inconclusive?" "Unable to determine?"

Conclusions – An Exercise

- "Preponderance of the evidence suggests that the allegation is true."
- "There is no evidence that the accused stole computer equipment, therefore allegation is not sustained."
- "Preponderance of the evidence suggests that the respondent violated Public Contract Code Section 10506.4. Allegation is substantiated."
- "There is clear evidence that the respondent engaged in more than one improper governmental activity, therefore allegations are sustained."
- "It was determined that there is no sufficient evidence to prove that the accused falsified his timesheet. Investigator was unable to determine whether the allegation has merit."

What Makes a Good Report? Does it Satisfy the "3 C's"

Clear

- Clarity in language
- Clarity in overall coherence and logic

Complete

- Documents all aspects of the investigation
- Addresses/resolves all issues

Concise

- Direct and succinct
- Simple and straightforward to read
- Eschew pretentious verbosity

Is it Well-Written?

- Use appropriate style
- Adopt the correct tone
 - Be impartial
 - Be professional
- Avoid common writing pitfalls



Some Writing Pitfalls to Avoid

- Consider your audience
- Don't write a "screenplay"
- Don't use verbs disguised as nouns



Do not purport to draw conclusions of law



Words Matter

- Use impartial and neutral verbs
- Use exactly descriptive verbs
- Avoid passive tense
- Be consistent in tense

- Avoid unnecessary adjectives
- Readability Analysis
- Avoid extraneous information
- Don't forget grammar & punctuation

Be Direct ... Write Small ... Simplify ...

"The most valuable of all talents is that of never using two words when one will do." Thomas Jefferson

Producing and Communicating the Report

- What draft review should be undertaken?
- What documents should be attached to the final report?
- What precautions should be taken for the electronic version of the report?
- Who should get the final report?

Producing the Report

- Review draft
 - By a "buddy"
 - By counsel/decision-maker
- The Final Report
 - Exhibits/attachments
 - File format
 - Securing the file
 - Delivering the report



Report Exhibits: All-in . . . or Not?

- Common practice:
 - include as part of the report all exhibits and documents relied upon as exhibits
- Stand Alone view:
 - Keep all (non-essential) documents and other evidence relied upon in a separate file to be provided to decision-maker as needed but not as part of the report



Tip – Securing your Report File

Encrypt your file

 Use Microsoft's Document Inspector to remove hidden text from MS Word documents

 Use Adobe Protection Options to secure your Adobe PDF files

Communicating the Report

- Transmittal letter
- Employee complaint matters
 - Final decision-maker
 - Parties and others
- IGA Investigations
 - Responsible officials
 - Responsible management
 - UCPD (for possible prosecution)



Key Takeaways

- Remember that your investigation can only be as good as your report of it
- Begin your investigation with a exemplar report outline to serve as a checklist for your investigation
- Frame the investigation and your report on the rule or policy at issue
- Begin the actual writing of your report with background, scope, and issue statements early in the investigation
- Strive to document your factual, timely, and thorough investigation in a clear, complete and concise report

Thanks!

Irene Levintov: Irene.Levintov@ucop.edu

Judith Rosenberg: <u>Judith.Rosenberg@ucop.edu</u>